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INTRODUCTION TO THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON SPELEOLOGY IN ARTIFICIAL CAVITIES
“CLASSIFICATION OF THE TYPOLOGIES OF ARTIFICIAL CAVITIES IN THE WORLD”

Mario Parise

National Research Council of Italy, IRPI, Bari, Italy; m.parise@ba.irpi.cnr.it
Commission of Speleology in Artificial Cavities, Italian Speleological Society (SSI)
UIS Commission of Speleology in Artificial Caverns

The present special issue of Opera Ipogea is entirely dedicated to the International Workshop “Classification of the typologies of artificial cavities in the world”, that was held on May 17-20, 2012, in Torino (Italy), organized by the Commission on Artificial Cavities of the UIS. The venue was the prestigious Parco della Tesoriera, at the base of the Associazione Gruppi Speleologici Piemontesi.

The Workshop, dedicated to the memory of Luigi Barcellari (Birci), was organized in agreement with the Commission on Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society, and with the logistic help of the local grotto Mus Muris.

Some tens of participants, coming from five different countries attended the workshop, during which a dozen of invited lectures were presented, and ten posters displayed (see the lists at the end of this introduction), to illustrate the most recent advancements about classification of artificial cavities, and about the study and documentation of the different categories of man-made caves.

The UIS past president Arrigo Cigna welcomed the participants on behalf of UIS, and attended the whole workshop, significantly contributing to the discussions. A round table discussion, aimed at summarizing the outcomes of the workshop, closed the theoretical part of the meeting. The last day of the Workshop was dedicated to the field visit to the sites of the Museum Pietro Micca and the 1706 Torino siege, including the 14-km long military underground gallery.

The Workshop was the first occasion of meeting for the new UIS Commission on Artificial Cavities, that had been renovated following the 15th International Congress of Speleology at Kerrville (Texas, USA), and is now trying to give more attention within the caving world to issues dealing with speleology in artificial cavities. A further effort in this direction is the organization of a dedicated Special Session (“Speleological research and activities in artificial underground”) at the coming 16th International Congress of Speleology at Brno (Czech Republic), scheduled for July 2013.

Going back to the issue you are reading, it comprises the papers presented as invited lectures at the workshop.

The first contribution, intended as the introductory theme of the Workshop itself, was presented by Carla Galeazzi: she illustrates in the paper the typological tree of artificial cavities produced during long years of work by the Italian Commission, as a starting point to discuss the classification of artificial cavities, and eventually to modify it with further contributions from other countries.

Entering then into more details about specific categories of artificial cavities, the contribution by Mario Parise, Carla Galeazzi, Carlo Germani and Mariangela Sammarco describes the main project of the Italian Commission, dedicated to create a register of the underground aqueducts in Italy, as well as of the other more significant underground hydraulic works.
The project, active since 2003, has already been object of publication in a special issue of this same journal in 2007. Mario Mainetti presents a database about troglodytism in the Mediterranean Basin, as an attempt in putting together, on a bibliographic basis, the many settlements distributed in the Mediterranean area. Its paper is followed by the work by Luc Stevens, dealing with the troglodytic castles at the boundaries between France (the northern Vosges) and Germany (Palatinat), that illustrates in details the artificial cavities realized in different epochs in several interesting case studies.

Taking into account the category of military and war works, Jerome and Laurent Triolet present a work dedicated to underground refuges and war tunnels, covering many different countries in the world, and showing the importance of military works in the framework of the analysis of artificial caves. As a further contribution to integrate the classification of artificial cavities, Roberto Bixio and Andrea De Pascale propose a new typology, consisting of apiaries; they describe many different types of apiaries, and illustrate them with experiences from different Mediterranean countries.

Martin Dixon contributes to the special issue by bringing the UK viewpoint on artificial cavities, illustrating different typologies of caves examined by Subterranea Britannica. Claudia Chiappino and Fabrizio Milla describe the recently started project about a census of ancient mines and underground quarries, in the attempt to provide data and information aimed at possibly exploiting some of these abandoned structures, of great interest for industrial archaeology. Marco Meneghini, trustee of the Italian register of artificial cavities, illustrates the work so far done in collecting information about this cultural heritage in the whole Italian territory, and the modality to extract some in-
formation via the recently designed website. Eventually, Mario Parise takes into consideration the danger posed by artificial cavities, and their interaction with built-up areas, including the likely occurrence of subsidence and the formation of sinkholes, with deriving damage to the society.

These proceedings represent a detailed and updated state of the art about the actual classifications of artificial cavities, and we hope the contributions might be of interest for anybody dealing with or interested in the matter of man-made cavities. We hope to move farther along this way, with other meetings and workshops in the next future, to favour the exchange of experience among scholars and cavers from different countries, aimed at increasing the knowledge on artificial cavities.
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The typological tree of artificial cavities: a contribution by the Commission of the Italian Speleological Society

Carla Galeazzi 1,2,3

Abstract

The variety of underground man-made structures is very large. Consequently, the classification chosen by the Commission of Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society to identify synthetically the nature of a cavity is organised like a tree, based on seven main types, in turn divided into sub-types. The use is made easy by alphanumeric codes. The typological classification of artificial cavities we use today, is due to the work of many colleagues during the last twenty-five years. In particular, in Italy Giulio Cappa and Paolo Guglia and in the international context Joep Orbons, Jérôme and Laurent Triolet, and Roberto Bixio deserve to be mentioned.

In order to write this contribution, some basic texts on speleology in artificial cavities have been consulted: namely, lectures number 41, 42 and 43 of the didactic project of the SSI - UIS, the Speleology Notebook (Quaderno di Speleologia) on artificial cavities published by the SSI in 2006, and the handbook (in press) of the National Course on Speleology in artificial cavities, organised by the SSI Commission in 2011 at Urbino.

Key words: speleology in artificial cavities, typologies of artificial cavities, man-made underground structures, typological tree, classification.

Introduction: man and the subsoil

The use of underground natural spaces (caves) is as old as mankind. From prehistoric times man has developed a culture of building that, from a simple adaptation of hypogeans spaces (Fig. 1), has led to the creation of modern skyscrapers. However, technology has produced not only architectures on the surface (epigean), but also in the subsoil.

The very fact that when thinking of prehistory one...
thinks of the caveman, shows how humanity, since its very beginning, has been familiar with the subsurface and the underground sites. It appears broadly plausible that prehistoric man was led into the ground in search of water and minerals, reasons that still after many millennia variously lead us to dig the earth. The beginnings of such activities date back to very remote times. Australian aborigines, at a level of development similar to the European Palaeolithic, had already dug deep galleries to find water and mines for the extraction of flint, known since the early Neolithic. So initially they dug to extract pigments (red ochre) and flint cores to be transformed into tools. Then, during the Copper age (3,500 to 2,500 B.C.), man used the techniques of excavation to capture underground water veins (especially in the arid North African or Asian regions) and for mining purposes (for example, copper and iron mines in Etruria, Latium, Italy). In Italy between the eighth and sixth centuries B.C., the work of excavation became frenetic: in Latium alone, the number of cavities made in that period is estimated at several thousand. Digging the soil to extract what is necessary for survival, man discovers that he can find shelter from the natural elements in the cavities so obtained, exactly as in a cave. Therefore, the Neolithic mining technique can be considered the origin of the architecture in the negative.

In Roman times the hydraulic technique, using the knowledge previously acquired by the Etruscans and Greeks, reached its highest peak. Long stretches of aqueducts were built underground. The Greek and Roman tunnels were aimed at the transport of water derived from springs or streams. Underground tunnels, in a trench or in the subsoil, alternate with channels on arches in order to maintain the slope required to reach the predetermined point of arrival. Similarly, to drain excess water from valuable agricultural areas, long underground tunnels were dug with techniques similar to those used for aqueducts (Fig. 2).

The ease of processing and utilisation of volcanic materials has allowed, since Roman times, the use of pozzolana for the construction of hydraulic mortar and of lithoid tuff as material used in construction. Therefore, stuff in the subsoil has been intensively exploited, removing the material from underground quarries and digging in the course of ages many galleries and tunnels, distributed in areas of great extent, often on multiple levels. The quarries were developed mainly in central-southern Italy, in the soft soils of tufa and pozzolana, in lithoid tuff or, more rarely, in sands and gravels.

Fig. 1 - Permanent dwelling: Petruscio settlement, Apulia (photo C. Germani).
Fig. 1 - Insediamento permanente: Gravina di Petruscio, Puglia (foto C. Germani).
Fig. 2 - Emissary of Nemi Lake, Latium (photo C. Germani).
Fig. 2 - Emissario del Lago di Nemi, Lazio (foto C. Germani).
In the Middle Ages, the cave environment was identified as the devil’s kingdom and for about a millennium the natural caves were no longer populated, except by witches, alchemists, and bandits. In the same period, where the geological structure was favourable (easy to cut rocks such as tuff and sandstone), people continued to dig the earth, thus creating complex settlement structures, which were easy to defend and self-sufficient. These were much safer than the towns left in disrepair.

To this period belong the many monastic complexes (eremitical, cenobitic and of mixed type) that characterised, in particular, the areas close to the Via Francigena, and, more generally, the areas marked by the stay, or the passage, of Basilian and Benedectine monks. Also to be mentioned are the military works, which since the Middle Ages and until the Second World War have marked the historical events of the territory: strongholds, ramparts, tunnels and trenches, mine and countermine tunnels, firing positions, fortifications and even the underground shelters in towns to escape air raids.

In conclusion, where climatic conditions or historical events required it, and the morphology and lithology were favourable, techniques of excavation or construction in negative (by subtraction) were developed, and they produced in the course of ages a large part of what we now call artificial cavities (Fig. 3). They are underground structures, spread all over the world, diversified by age, excavation technique and purpose, and of which man is the speleo-genetic factor.

**Concept of Artificial Cavities**

In Italy, conventionally, artificial cavities are the underground works of historical and anthropological interest, man-made or readjusted by man for his needs. Therefore artificial cavities are considered to include both man-made works (excavated, built underground or turned into underground structures by stratigraphic overlap) and natural caves if readjusted to human needs, at least in part. For example, the natural caves used as shelters in the Alps during the First World War, the hermitages in natural shelters, etc. Both of these sorts of underground space are included in the classification system and site-register (‘cadastre’). It is obvious that the size of the “phenomenon of artificial cavities” in a given place, both by number and by extension, is in direct and inverse correlation with the hardness of the rock and, as a consequence, with the easiness of excavation. The characteristics of the cavities present in a given urban area are also closely related to the peculiarities of the site itself, and to its evolution and transformation as well. In many cases artificial cavities go back to a historical period of which there is no longer evidence on the surface. Therefore, cavities are often the only evidence left of pre-existing territorial organisations and of a lifestyle wiped out by the present urban development, owing to new and different needs developed in the course of time.

**Motivation**

The reasons why very different people, in different epochs, dug the depths of the rock are to be found in the need to:

- obtain water and/or minerals;
- exploit the natural thermal properties of underground sites to survive in adverse weather conditions;
- overcome the shortage of timber for building and/or heating;
- bury the dead;
- find conditions of ascetic isolation;
- defend against raids, persecution, war;
- hide from justice;
- exploit the economy and/or ease of excavation of some types of rock compared to other construction techniques;
- take advantage of the shape of some rocky hills;
- obtain free areas for productive activities.

**Epochs**

Even our modern civilisation is “colonising” the subsoil: subways, car parks, road tunnels, shopping centres, scientific laboratories, military works, mines, and so on. The artificial cavities have been constructed for over thousands of years without interruptions since the remote past to the present days. To provide a first statistical indication, in the Italian Register of Artificial Cavities there is a field that shows the time of construction (indicated by a lowercase letter) of the underground facilities, conventionally grouped as follows:

- a = prehistoric
- b = protohistoric
- c = pre-Roman (Etruscan for example)
- d = Roman kingdom/Republican
- e = Roman Imperial
- f = Late Antiquity (Sunset of the Roman Empire)
- g = high-Medieval (until about 1000)
- h = middle-late Middle Ages
- i = Renaissance (approximately, 1400-1600)
- l = Modern Ages (until the French Revolution)
STUDY AND CLASSIFICATION OF ARTIFICIAL CAVITIES

To ensure the proper investigation and cataloguing of anthropogenic cavities it is crucial to identify:
- the technique of construction;
- the function (or purpose);
- the time of excavation;
- the shape and development of the underground structure;
- the spatial correlation with the surrounding environment;
- the temporal correlation with the general historical events on a general, regional and local scale.

PART ONE: CATEGORIES

A first broad general subdivision is based on the construction technique. In turn, each category is classified (see: part two, Types) with respect to the use for which each structure was, or is, used.

Techniques of construction
- cavities dug in the subsoil;
- cavities constructed in the subsoil;
- cavities obtained by re-covering;
- anomalous artificial cavities;
- mixed artificial cavities;
- natural caves modified by men.

Cavities dug in the subsoil. These are underground structures in the strict sense: rooms obtained by removing stone materials (rocks) under the surface level, or inside rocky hills, or carved close to the surface of the cliff faces, canyons, ravines (for example, trogloidyic structures).

Cavities constructed in the subsoil. Excavation in trenches is realised with an open air excavation, followed by the dressing of the walls and the construction of the vault. Excavation in gallery is realised by removing the rock entirely underground. The walls are then coated with different masonry techniques.

Re-covered cavities. Often in urban areas human activity produces the covering, natural or artificial, of structures originally located on the surface.

Anomalous artificial cavities. These structures are built on the surface, but with characteristics similar to those underground (for example, some military bunkers).

Mixed artificial cavities. They are the result of the digging to reach, extend or alter natural caves.

Caves with anthropogenic interventions. Natural caves that have undergone limited human interventions. They represent the boundary between the natural cavities and those of artificial origin (anthropogenic). In general, they are structures with limited extent, within which man has built housing and/or has dedicated the cave to the cult: a cave-shrine.

PART TWO: TYPES

According to the function (intended use) for which an artificial cavity was, or is still, used it has been established a classification into types, regardless of the construction techniques ("categories" described above).

Typological Tree

The variety of underground artificial structures is very large. Consequently, the classification chosen by the Commission of Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society to identify synthetically the nature of a cavity is organised like a tree, based on seven main types, in turn divided into sub-types (Fig. 4). The use is made easy by alphanumeric codes. Often different uses overlap in time; thus, a single site may have multiple classifications representing different periods in its life.

Type A – Hydraulic underground works

A.1 – Water level control, drainage-ways
Tunnels dug for the reclamation of marshlands and to stabilise the level of lakes (emissaries; Fig. 5) and reservoirs.

A.2 – Underground stream interception structures
Tunnels and galleries designed to capture underground water veins or dripping waters (Fig. 6). The work of interception can consist either of a simple duct cut into the rock, or of a complex system integrated with building works.

A.3 – Underground water ducts: aqueducts
Galleries and tunnels to carry water from the stream interceptions or other body of water to the users. Deviations into galleries of water courses can allow the construction of bridges: the so-called Ponti Terra or Ponti Sodi (Etruscan technique).

A.4 – Cisterns, water reservoirs
Underground spaces to store water, usually completed with waterproofing of the walls.

A.5 – Wells
Vertical drilling to reach the drinking water and carry water to the surface. Those located within other underground structures are considered an integral part thereof.

A.6 – Hydraulic distribution works
Tanks or other underground rooms in which one or more ducts converge and from which other ducts go out to distribute water to the users (castellum aquae).
A.7 – Sewer
Tunnels or galleries for the discharge of grey or black waters produced by human settlements and industrial facilities.

A.8 – Ship, boat canals
They are found mainly in central Europe and the United Kingdom.

A.9 – Ice wells, snow-houses
Deposits and/or manufacture of ice in the subsoil. Both natural cavities and artificial cavities were used.

A.10 – Tunnels or ducts with unknown function
Sometimes there are traces of ducts that are identified as water works, but their specific function is not known with certainty.

Type B – Hypogeal civilian dwellings
B.1 – Permanent dwellings
Long term settlements, cave dwellings, underground houses. Most cave dwellings have now been abandoned. However, the historic Sassi of Matera (Southern Italy) are recovering thanks to a recent, extensive renovation. In China, Cappadocia (Turkey) and Granada (Spain) they are still digging into the rocks public buildings and private houses, inhabited by about thirty million people.

In antiquity some sites have achieved the size and organisation of real urban hypogeal areas, often complemented by brickworks.
B.2 – Temporary shelters
Seasonal settlements, shelters for shepherds during the transhumance, hiding-places of bandits, places of temporary detention.

B.3 – Underground plants, factories
Rope-makers caves, oil mills, factories, working places no longer in use. Military factories are classified in D.1.

B.4 – Warehouses, stores, cellars
Storage for farming equipment, wine cellars, storage for fruits and vegetables. If military, they are classified in D.5.

B.5 – Underground silos
Cavities general accessed from above, carved into the rock and closed by a stone carefully worked to guarantee the preservation of food from animals or humidity. Sometimes they are bell-shaped.

B.6 – Stables for any kind of animals
Shelters for animals of any size: horses, chickens, other birds and bees (except pigeons, see B7).

B.7 – Pigeon-houses
Dovecote or pigeon-house are synonyms to indicate rocky structure used for the housing of pigeons, doves or similar birds (Fig. 7).

B.8 – Any other kind of civilian settlements
It is difficult to establish a complete list of all the types of settlements. Unusual or not understood works can be included here. For example, the rocky apiaries (see Bixio & De Pascali, this volume) represent a typology identified just recently (currently included in B.6).

Type C – Religious/cult structures, veneration works
C.1 Nymphaeum, Mithraea (Fig. 8), temples, sacred
C.2 – Burial Places
Crypts, chamber tombs, complex systems such as funerary columbaria, catacombs, necropolis, Domus de Janas (Sardinia).

Type D – Military and war works
D.1 – Defensive works
Underground fortifications and linked works.

D.2 – Galleries and connecting passages
Military structures for the transit of soldiers and arms; tunnels with military purposes that can be found in every age and every country.

D.3 – Mine and countermine tunnels
Military trenches with a specific role.
- Mine galleries: tunnels dug by the attackers to reach and undermine the foundations of the walls or defences of the defenders, or dug by the defenders to reach and undermine the artillery of the enemy.
- Countermine galleries: tunnels dug by the defenders to intercept the mined tunnels and prevent the attack.

D.4 – Firing stations
Rifles, machine guns, cannons and weapons of earlier periods, such as crossbows. In the First and Second World Wars many defensive structures were built underground: some of them were very large (like the Maginot Line, the Siegfried, the Metaxas etc.), whilst many others were isolated sites where the guns and other weapons were located.

D.5 – Deposits
Underground military stores of ammunition, food or other commodities. It is not always easy to determine the intended use of some of these facilities.

D.6 – Sheltered accommodation for soldiers
Shelters from the bombing, dormitories, military command posts.

D.7 – War shelters for civilians
Underground places where the civilian population sought refuge during raids, invasion, shelling, and (particularly) air bombing (Fig. 9). They can consist of a single room or develop for many hundred metres.

Type E – Mining works
They are structures that can reach huge depths and development.

E.1 – Aggregate quarries
Quarries of sandstone, pozzolana, limestone blocks, building stone or ornamental. The structures of this type which are no longer active, frequently have been or are still employed for other uses: cultivation, refuge, sport, tourism, scientific purposes, etc.

E.2 – Metal mines
Mines of copper, iron, tin, lead, gold, etc.

E.3 – Mines and quarries of other materials (non-metallic)
Underground quarries of flint, alum, sulphur, coal, sand for glass, ochre, salt, etc.

E.4 – Non-specific mining surveys
Traces of excavation activities aimed at the identification of mineral deposits. They are, in general, exploratory tunnels of modest size.

E.5 – Underground spaces to grow vegetables
In these spaces plant products are grown, typically mushrooms and vegetables.

Type F – Transit underground works
F.1 – Tunnels for vehicles, pedestrian or horses
Galleries at least a couple of metres wide, used in the past for the transit of carriages, wagons, horses.

D.6 – Sheltered accommodation for soldiers
Shelters from the bombing, dormitories, military command posts.

D.7 – War shelters for civilians
Underground places where the civilian population sought refuge during raids, invasion, shelling, and (particularly) air bombing (Fig. 9). They can consist of a single room or develop for many hundred metres.

Type E – Mining works
They are structures that can reach huge depths and development.

E.1 – Aggregate quarries
Quarries of sandstone, pozzolana, limestone blocks, building stone or ornamental. The structures of this type which are no longer active, frequently have been or are still employed for other uses: cultivation, refuge, sport, tourism, scientific purposes, etc.

E.2 – Metal mines
Mines of copper, iron, tin, lead, gold, etc.

E.3 – Mines and quarries of other materials (non-metallic)
Underground quarries of flint, alum, sulphur, coal, sand for glass, ochre, salt, etc.

E.4 – Non-specific mining surveys
Traces of excavation activities aimed at the identification of mineral deposits. They are, in general, exploratory tunnels of modest size.

E.5 – Underground spaces to grow vegetables
In these spaces plant products are grown, typically mushrooms and vegetables.

Type F – Transit underground works
F.1 – Tunnels for vehicles, pedestrian or horses
Galleries at least a couple of metres wide, used in the past for the transit of carriages, wagons, horses.
**F2 – Transit works, not military**
The function is the same as F1, but the dimensions are such as to not allow the transit of wagons and large animals. Only for pedestrian use: tunnels related to vil-
las, castles, monasteries, tunnels to escape, and so on. Certainly not military works.

**F3 – Railway tunnels, tramways or funicular (out of use)**
Although fairly recent, many are already out of use. They include mine tunnels intended solely for haulage purposes and not for mining.

**F4 – Non-hydraulic wells, shafts etc.**
The wells created for the access, the inspection or the maintenance of artificial cavities, today no longer in use because of occlusions or other reasons.

**Type G – Other works not included in former categories**
Certainly you cannot expect to classify all structures: a generic category is therefore needed. For example, the wells that are not part of other undergrounds, structures with unknown function (ventilation wells, light wells, cavities for technical spaces, passages, wells for alignment) find space in this typology.

**Definition of the requirements of artificial cavities to be inserted into the register**

In Italy it is possible to insert into the register of artifi-
cial cavities all man-made underground cavities which have particular historical importance, or particular importance in relation to the construction techniques adopted and the mode of use. They have to be not in use.

The size of the cavities has to be not too small: a minimum size of 5 metres (horizontal, vertical, oblique) has been used as a guideline.

Natural caves with artificial parts over fifty percent of the overall development can be inserted in both regis-
ters of natural and artificial cavities.

The procedures to be followed to insert an artificial cavity into the register, the basic information and doc-
umentation to be delivered with the card register, are set by the National Cadastre of Artificial Cavities of
For many years UIS has been adopting schemes of reference both for the mapping of caves and for the indications relating to the karstic surface phenomena. Similarly, it would be very important to encode the most suitable graphic symbols to represent the artificial cavities, comparing and sharing those already in use in different countries.

In particular, it would be interesting to include the indication of the artificial wells (water, light, ventilation wells), the magnetic north, the direction of excavation, the direction of water flow, etc.

**Proposal for adoption of standard symbols in surveying and mapping of artificial cavities.**

For many years UIS has been adopting schemes of reference both for the mapping of caves and for the indications relating to the karstic surface phenomena. Similarly, it would be very important to encode the most suitable graphic symbols to represent the artificial cavities, comparing and sharing those already in use in different countries.

In particular, it would be interesting to include the indication of the artificial wells (water, light, ventilation wells), the magnetic north, the direction of excavation, the direction of water flow, etc.
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Hydraulic works: the Map of the Ancient Underground Aqueducts

Mario Parise\textsuperscript{1, 2, 3}, Carla Galeazzi\textsuperscript{2, 3, 4}, Carlo Germani\textsuperscript{3, 4}, Mariangela Sammarco\textsuperscript{3, 5}

Abstract

Among the many different typologies of artificial caves, hydraulic works deserve a particular attention, being strongly related to past history and civilization. Without the availability of water, development of settlements and villages, and establishment of a geographically stable inhabited area were not possible. Starting from these considerations, in 2003 the Commission on Artificial Caverns of the Italian Speleological Society started the Project “The Map of Ancient Underground Aqueducts in Italy”. Italy presents on its territory a huge amount of hydraulic works, showing very long underground stretches, that represent a valuable documentation of the skill and engineering techniques of the ancient communities. Due to their mostly underground development, they have often been preserved intact for millennia. During these years of work, we have been able to collect a great amount of material and information about underground aqueducts, through both direct caving explorations and analysis of the available documentation; a detailed register of ancient underground aqueducts in Italy has been thus realized, aimed at safeguarding these unique works of historical and hydraulic engineering importance. So far, more than 140 underground aqueducts, distributed all over the Italian territory, have been identified and studied. In addition to aqueducts, other hydraulic works have also been studied within the project framework: namely, underground drainage tunnel realized for land reclamation purposes and/or for agricultural practices. The Project has been advertised through presentations at several Italian and international conferences, and with a number of publications, including special issues of the journal Opera Ipogea, entirely dedicated to the project (in 2007 and 2012). A detailed bibliography has been built, with reference to underground hydraulic works in Italy, and is being continuously updated. The bibliographic list is subdivided on a regional basis, and, within each region, is in turn divided for each single hydraulic work.

Key words: artificial cavities, underground aqueducts, hydraulic works.

Riassunto: cavità artificiali, acquedotti sotterranei.

Operai idraulici: la Carta degli Antichi Acquedotti Sotterranei

Tra le varie tipologie di cavità artificiali, le opere idrauliche meritano un’attenzione particolare, in quanto fortemente legate alla storia e cultura del territorio. Senza disponibilità di risorse idriche, infatti, la fondazione e lo sviluppo di insediamenti antropici duraturi nel tempo non è possibile. Partendo da tali considerazioni, nel 2003 la Commissione sulle Cavità Artificiali della Società Speleologica Italiana ha avviato il Progetto “La Carta degli Antichi Acquedotti Sotterranei in Italia”. Il territorio italiano presenta un’enorme quantità di opere idrauliche, con significativi tratti in sotterraneo, e che rappresentano una documentazione di estrema importanza delle capacità e tecniche ingegneristiche delle antiche comunità. Grazie al loro sviluppo eminentemente sotterraneo, tali opere si sono spesso conservate pressoché intatte per millenni. A partire dall’inizio del Progetto, siamo stati in grado di raccogliere una notevole quantità di materiale documentaristico e di informazioni sugli acquedotti sotterranei, sia mediante esplorazioni dirette negli ambienti ipogei che per mezzo dell’analisi critica della documentazione disponibile. È stata così realizzata una specifica banca dati sugli antichi acquedotti sotterranei in Italia, al fine di salvaguardare queste opere idrauliche di unica importanza storica e idraulica. A tutt’oggi, oltre 140 acquedotti sotterranei, con una distribuzione che copre l’intero territorio italiano, sono stati identificati e oggetto di studio. Oltre agli acquedotti, il Progetto si è anche interessato di ulteriori opera idrauliche, e in
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Introduction

Water has always been fundamental for the birth and development of ancient civilizations, and its availability has played a crucial role in the choice of the sites for new settlements in many periods of the human history. When the hydric resources were not present nearby, they were searched for, and hydraulic engineering works realized, in order to collect and transport them to the inhabited areas. This was generally obtained by means of aqueducts, developed underground (Fig. 1) for most of their length (Castellani & Dragoni, 1990, 1997; Castellani, 1999, 2001).

The oldest form of subterranean aqueducts engineered to collect groundwater is represented by qanats (Fig. 2): this term, which takes its root from a Semitic word meaning “to dig”, indicates hydraulic works through which the water was collected, and directed by means of a gently sloping underground conduit to surface canals, to provide water to agricultural fields or oases. Qanats represent one of the most ecologically balanced water recovery methods available for arid and semi-arid regions, since do not upset the natural water balance, relying entirely on passive tapping of the water table by gravity. According to archaeological evidences and written accounts, the method of qanat irrigation was first invented in the Armenian-Persian region about 600-700 B.C. (Lightfoot, 1996). The dating, however, is in some way controversial, and some scholars claim the first realizations of qanats has to be brought back to three thousands years ago (Wulff, 1968).

At this regard, it has to be mentioned that the art of tunnelling, as well as the expertise in realizing deep shafts and underground canals to transport water, were probably even older, as testified by the drainage works realized at Kopais, in Boeotia, at the beginning of the 2nd millennium B.C. (Knauss, 1991), or by the attempts of the Mycenaean civilization to cross a mountain ridge with a man-made drainage tunnel discharging the water toward the sea around the 12th century B.C. (Castellani & Dragoni, 1997).

Management in drinking water supply has always been of fundamental importance. The need to having available the necessary amount of hydric resources for the populations pushed ancient populations to tremendous efforts in planning, realizing, and maintaining long and complex aqueducts, that developed underground for most, if not all, of their length. To provide one of the most significant examples for the Italian territory, when the engineer Sextus Julius Frontinus was appointed, in AD 79, as imperial water commissioner (Curator Aquarum) of the City of Rome, he became responsible for a supply of 800 megalitres daily into the city from nine underground aqueducts, with a total length of 420 km (Lanciani, 1869; Judson & Kahane, 1963; Bono & Boni, 1996; Pike, 1999).

Ancient populations (and particularly ancient Romans) understood the relevance of placing the aqueducts underground as a method of protecting their fresh water from external threats, represented by the many enemies. Three main advantages for building the aqueducts underground must be reminded (Assante, 2007; Tassios, 2007): i) to conceal and to protect them from enemies; ii) to protect them from erosion and deterior-
ration; iii) to be less disruptive to life above ground. On the other hand, the main disadvantage was repre-
sented by the greater difficulties in maintaining and
 inspecting the systems (Castellani, 1999, 2001).

In many cases the final structure was a mostly un-
derground aqueduct, with intervening sections above
ground (Fig. 3).

As appears from the above considerations, ancient
hydraulic works represent a widespread cultural heri-
tage, that locally has become so significant to be a sort
of marker of the anthropogenic landscape.

THE PROJECT “THE MAP OF ANCIENT UNDERGROUND
AQUEDUCTS OF ITALY”

In 2003, the Italian Speleological Society (SSI) star-
ted the project “The Map of Ancient Underground
Aqueducts of Italy”, entirely dedicated to the study
and exploration of ancient underground aqueducts and
other hydraulic works (Parise et al., 2009; Germani et
al., 2009a, b). Ancient aqueducts, together with other
subterranean works designed and realized for water
collection, transport, and storage (lake outlets, cisterns,
tanks, etc.; Fig. 4), have been since a long time explored
and studied by cavers. Their importance derives from a
number of historical, engineering, and environmental
reasons: in fact, they represent a valuable documentation
of the skill and engineering techniques of the an-
cient communities, and are among the main works that
testify the efforts by man to manage the territory , and
to develop urban civilizations (Tolle Kastenbein, 1990;
Laureano, 2009; Parise, 2011). Further, due to the mos-
ty underground development, aqueducts have often
been preserved intact for millennia, and in many cases
are still working today (Fig. 5), even though lacking
a continuous maintenance. In those situations where problems of instabilities have occurred, aqueducts might be put again at work through low-cost interventions, thus representing additional water supplies in case of droughts or during hydrologic crisis.

The Project involved tens of cavers in many Italian regions, that started new research looking for underground aqueducts or worked in critical analysis of the available documentation and texts, aimed at reconstructing the development of hypogean hydraulic works, and evaluating their actual conditions and state of preservation (Fig. 6). Since the Italian territory presents a huge amount of ancient hydraulic works, two time and space requirements had to be fulfilled to includes an aqueduct in the database (Parise, 2007): 1) the upper time limit of the aqueduct construction is considered to be the XVIII century; 2) the aqueduct must be at least 400 meters long. As regards the latter requirement, however, some exceptions have been made, in case of smaller aqueducts that were of particular importance for some historical, geological or environmental reasons (Fig. 7). As concerns age of the hydraulic structures, the aqueducts have been temporally sub-

Fig. 4 - The roman cistern at the Villa of Consul Quinto Assio (Rieti, Latium). Photo: archive Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranee.

Fig. 4 - La cisterna romana della Villa del Console Quinto Assio (Rieti, Lazio). Foto: Archivio Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranee.

Fig. 5 - Examples of underground aqueducts: a) ancient aqueduct, re-worked in the XVII century by monks of the Camaldoli Hermitage at Mount Tuscolo (Latio); photo archive Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranee; b) branch of the late roman aqueduct at Roccarainola (Campania), realized in pyroclastic deposits; photo F. Maurano; c) channels within the aqueduct Fontana della Stella at Gravina in Puglia (Apulia); photo G. Bologna.

Fig. 5 - Esempi di acquedotti sotterranei: a) acquedotto di epoca arcaica, ristrutturato nel 1600 dai Monaci dell’Eremo di Camaldoli sul Monte Tuscolo (Roma, Lazio); foto Archivio Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranee; b) ramo dell’acquedotto tardo romano di Roccarainola (Napoli) scavato in depositi piroclastici; foto F. Maurano; c) canaletta per il deflusso dell’acqua all’interno dell’Acquedotto Fontana della Stella a Gravina in Puglia (foto G. Bologna).
divided into three periods: i) greek-roman time (until VI century B.C.); ii) byzantine-medieval time (VII – XIV century B.C.); and iii) renaissance-modern time (XV – XVIII century B.C.).

A specific form was implemented for the project, consisting of three parts (general data, technical data, and personal data) in order to facilitate the collection of the main information about each aqueduct. The general data include all the relevant information about name and location of the aqueduct (region, province, municipality), length (with indication of the percentage of subterranean course), and availability of plan and sections. In addition, the present state of the structure, and the possible necessary works for its re-utilization, are also indicated. Eventually, the general data includes all the bibliographic references dealing with that specific aqueduct. The technical data of the form encompasses information about the geological and hydrological setting of the area where the aqueduct develops, with particular reference to geology of the spring area, and any geological (stratigraphic or tectonic) change along the course of the aqueduct. They also include the known notice about age of utilization of the aqueduct. The personal data, eventually, refer to name, address and correspondence of the form’s compiler, in order to have the possibility to contact him/her for further requests.

A very important part of the project consisted in putting together all the references about ancient underground aqueducts, that are often dispersed in many local or sectorial publications, journals or conference proceedings. A thorough work of bibliographical research, and a subsequent phase of cross-checking among the main literary sources, allowed to develop a list of over 1,200 publications (the first release of the bibliography was published in Parisi, 2007). These were subdivided on a regional basis, and within each region they were in turn associated to each hydraulic work. The bibliography is continuously being updated.

The number of aqueducts so far inventoried (over 140) is certainly not a definitive one, but expresses the great potentiality of the Italian territory as regards the presence of ancient hydraulic engineering works. Practically all regions of Italy present at least one underground aqueduct (the only exception being Calabria, where so far no ancient underground hydraulic work has been documented). As expected, Latium hosts the great majority of aqueducts, counting 42 hydraulic works, followed by Marche and Campania (13), Apulia (12), and, with smaller numbers, all other regions. The majority of ancient aqueducts is comprised between 1 and 5 km, but there is a high percentage of aqueducts with a longer course, namely over 10 km (with at least a dozen longer than 30 km). With reference to age of realization of the hydraulic works, over four/fifth of the inventoried aqueducts is of greek-roman age, whilst only one aqueduct has been catalogued as byzantine-medieval, likely following an older (probably roman) course. The remaining aqueducts are of renaissance-modern time.

However, it is worth making some considerations about age of the aqueducts: in many cases the date of construction often comes from historical sources (for instance, an ancient author indicates explicitly in the text the date of beginning, or end, of the work, together with the emperor’s name). In other cases, the age is de-
derived from the functionality of the aqueduct: for example, it provided the water supply to a roman colony, thus it is a roman aqueduct. In still other situations, dating is just an hypothesis (for instance, it is called roman aqueduct, but actually no documentation which can prove the date is available).

Utilization of the aqueducts was rather diversified: they mostly took drinkable water and transported it to domus, villae, towns, thermal baths, and military camps (Galeazzi & Germani, 2007). In a few cases, the aqueduct supplied water to mills and factories, by providing the purpose-built wheels with the energy for the production process (Bixio et al., 2007).

There is no uniformity in the geological setting of the source areas of ancient aqueducts. In most of the cases, rocks of sedimentary origin (35%) crop out in the areas where the springs are located. These are followed by carbonate (31%) and volcanic rocks (30%), while a much lower percentage interests debris deposits (4%). The study of the geological setting of the area where the hydraulic works are located, including the difficulties related to the presence of different types of rocks to dig the underground tunnel is a very interesting topic, that is worth to be analysed in greater detail, both as regards the single aqueducts and the overall framework of the territories where these engineering works were realized (Del Prete & Parise, 2007). More in general, it has to be noted that the deep knowledge the ancient populations had about hydrogeology, hydraulics and topography, in order to design, and correctly realize, underground aqueducts, is really astonishing. To obtain the correct functioning of long hydraulic works is definitely not a simple matter; however, the task was reached with precision and working capability, that testify the high level of techniques by the ancient population.

Other hydraulic works

In different geomorphological settings of central Italy (from lakes of volcanic origin, to karst poljes) the local geological, topographical and hydrological conditions made necessary the realization of man-made underground passages to reclaim land (Fig. 8) or to regulate the permanent and/or temporary water levels (Caputo et al., 1974; Dragoni, 1982; Faccenna et al., 1993). These hydraulic works were planned and realized for several aims that included, but were not limited to, agricultural practices and collection, transport and distribution of water to human settlements (Germani & Parise, 2010).

Etrurians and Romans, in particular, dug long underground galleries to reclaim many closed basins between VI century B.C. and II century A.C. (Castellani & Dragoni, 1989; Burri & Pettitta, 1996).

Identification and study of the artificial drainage tunnels of volcanic lakes and karst poljes was the natural corollary of the first phases of the project “The Map of Ancient Underground Aqueducts”. To this typology of hydraulic works, an issue of Opera Ipogea has been almost entirely dedicated (see Galeazzi et al., 2012). The preliminary list of the hydraulic works identified so far include 12 drainage tunnels in Latium, 4 in Tuscany, 3 in Umbria and 1 in Abruzzo.

Underground drainage tunnels were realized to control the water levels of permanent or temporary lakes and basins. These works were planned in order to allow agricultural practices along the shores of the lakes, or as water supply tunnels to provide with drinkable water human settlements (De La Blanchere, 1882; Del Pelo Pardi, 1943). Apart from these goals, the control of the water levels of lakes was also used to provide energy for mills and other working sites.

In the time span between the VI century B.C. and the II A.C., Etruscan and Romans became masters of these engineering works, and were able to drain several basins. Among the most interesting examples, it is worth to remember the drainage tunnels at the Lake of Nemi, in Latium (VI century B.C., 1650 m of length, absence of shafts along the tunnel) and of Fucino (I century A.C., length about 6 Km; Brisse & De Routrou, 1876; Burri, 1994). At Nemi, a very complex system of tunnels and artificial conduits allowed control and irrigation of the fields (Ucelli, 1954; Caloi & Castellani, 1991; Castellani, 1999; Castellani et al., 2002, 2003; Dobosz et al., 2003; Drusiani, 2003; Medici, 2005; Galeazzi & Germani, 2007), beside including also two mills (Giannini, 2006). Further sites of interests for drainage tunnels are the Albani Hills, in Latium (Dolci, 1958; Cardinali et al., 1978; Caloi et al., 1994), and the Trasimeno Lake in Umbria (Frosini, 1958; Castellani & Dragoni, 1981; Burzigotti et al., 2003).

Conclusions

The Project is still in progress, and can actually be considered as an on-going process. The amount of sites to study, and where to collect further data, is actually enormous in a country as Italy (Fig. 9). The efforts by the Italian Speleological Society have necessarily to be strictly linked to research centres and universities, in order to have the possibility to give continuity to the project and keep working on this subject.

Studying ancient underground aqueducts (or, more in general, hydraulic works) represents an exciting challenge, that may open new lights toward the capability of man to collect water in the past and, more generally,
to work toward a sustainable use of the natural resources (Laureano, 1995; Burri, 2002; Parise, 2011). On the other hand, the periodic hydrologic crises we experience, often related to over-exploitation and degradation of the water resources, demonstrate that several lessons may be learned from the analysis of ancient hydraulic works (Castellani & Dragoni, 1991; Parise et al., 2012).

Aimed at further co-operations with foreign scholars and cavers, a systematic research about bibliographic references to ancient underground aqueducts outside of Italy was also started, and the first contributions in this sense published (Parise, 2012).

The interest on the topic is in fact great even outside the Italian boundaries, and especially in the other countries of the Mediterranean Basin, where many other important ancient hydraulic engineering works have been built and used during the different epochs. At the time we write (December 2012) a list of some hundreds of bibliographical references about underground aqueducts distributed all over the world has been compiled.

---

**Fig. 9 - Due immagini all’interno di acquedotti sotterranei nel territorio di Montecompatri (Roma, Lazio). Foto Archivio Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranei.**

**Fig. 9 - Two images in the underground aqueducts at Montecompatri, Latium (photos: archive Egeria Centro Ricerche Sotterranei).**

---
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A Mediterranean Troglodytism database. An overview on the rupestrian settlements of the Mediterranean region

Mario Mainetti

Abstract

The research on Mediterranean Troglodytism was started by architects Mario Mainetti and Erica Besana while studying hypogean architecture in 1999 and it was later developed in collaboration with the National Commission on Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society, together with Carla Galeazzi, Roberto Bixio, and Andrea De Pascale.

Focused on cave-dwellers and based on a vast and growing bibliography, this study is a reliable tool for the National Register of Artificial Cavities and aims at analysing and describing this specific practice, significantly experimented all over the Mediterranean territories. The idea to build this directory originates from the will to provide a database of information for those who deal at any level with artificial cavities.

The research on the Mediterranean troglodyte architecture is represented in 3 general maps and 23 regional cuts. This article is illustrated by a general map of the Mediterranean region showing images of some of the venues, as an overview of the whole research, and two maps focusing on Sardinia and Crete, associated with the corresponding lists of sites and bibliography.

The functions recognized and taken into consideration in this study are as follows: ancient and contemporary troglodyte or semi-troglodyte dwellings, sacred sites, temporary shelters and defences, utilities (but not funerary architecture or water storage systems). The encoding of these classification is currently under review, in order to be aligned with the codes and typologies identified and defined by the National Commission on Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society.

KEY WORDS: troglodytism, rupestrian settlements, Mediterranean Basin.

Riassunto

Per un database del Trogloditismo Mediterraneo. Un’indagine sulla diffusione degli insediamenti rupestri nella regione mediterranea

La ricerca sul trogloditismo mediterraneo è un’indagine iniziata nel 1999 da Mario Mainetti ed Erica Besana sulla diffusione delle architetture scavate dell’area mediterranea, e sviluppata negli anni seguenti con la collaborazione e poi nel seno delle attività della Commissione Nazionale Cavità Artificiale della Società Speleologica Italiana, tra gli altri con Carla Galeazzi, Roberto Bixio ed Andrea De Pascale. Lo studio, particolarmente centrato sulla raccolta di dati sull’abitare in grotta e nato dalla consultazione di una vasta e crescente bibliografia, si pone in Italia come strumento complementare alla compilazione del Catasto Nazionale delle Cavità Artificiali, contribuendo a definire il più possibile, e nel modo più preciso, l’entità di un fenomeno che interessa buona parte del territorio mediterraneo e necessita di un censimento che sia strumento per una tutela diffusa sul territorio.

Il progetto, in via di pubblicazione, è rappresentato in 3 carte generali e 23 di dettaglio. Questo articolo è illustrato da una carta alla scala del Mediterraneo con immagini di alcuni dei siti censiti, quale presentazione dell’intera ricerca, e da due mappe di approfondimento su Creta e la Sardegna, associate agli elenchi e alla bibliografia corrispondenti.

Con lo scopo di non perdere informazioni utili a futuri approfondimenti, e cercando il più possibile di non perdere la visione d’insieme di uno studio il cui interesse è anche quello di riconoscere e confrontare quello che è stato già studiato in molte sue parti, si è preferito adattare alle realtà regionali la scala di classificazione e rappresentazione dei siti segnalati. Così, nelle mappe, siti censiti può corrispondere, a seconda dei casi, sia una singola cavità artificiale che un grande insieme, avente più funzioni, fino anche alla scala del villaggio o di una porzione estesa di territorio, quali ad esempio una valle o un’intera isola.

Tuttavia rimane evidente la grande differenza nel numero di località individuate nelle diverse nazioni o regioni nelle quali il fenomeno è stato riconosciuto (si veda la tabella nel testo inglese). Essa dipende sia dal-
The research on Mediterranean Troglodytism was started by architects Mario Mainetti and Erica Besana while studying hypogeal architecture in 1999 and it was later developed in collaboration with the National Commission on Artificial Caves of the Italian Speleological Society, together with Carla Galeazzi, Roberto Bixio, and Andrea De Pascale. Focused on cave-dwellers and based on a vast and growing bibliography, this study is a reliable tool for the National Register of Artificial Caves and aims at analysing and describing this specific practice, significantly experimented all over the Mediterranean territories.

Nowadays the interest on underground architecture is growing for researchers as well as for the general audience, although more sporadically. This is a consequence not only of the studies started in the Sixties, which considered for the first time cave-dwelling as an architectural typology, but also of the new attention devoted to sustainable architecture. There are several examples of architectures which are now valued as cultural heritage; nonetheless, an archipelago of minor centres, recognized are Albania, Algeria, western Armenia, Bosnia Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Cyprus, northern Egypt, southern France, western Georgia, Gibraltar, Jordan, Greece, Israel, Italy, Lebanon, Libya, Macedonia, Malta, Montenegro, Morocco, southern Moldavia, Palestine, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Serbia (including Kosovo), Syria, Spain, Switzerland, Tunisia, Turkey and southern Ukraine (Tab. 3). Unfortunately the need to mark the geographical limits of this study has led to the inevitable exclusion of some areas with a strong troglodyte tradition, to be found in the immediate proximity of the Mediterranean, but information on these areas was however collected, in view of an extension of the research.

In order to keep information that might be useful for future studies, and endeavouring as far as possible not to lose sight of the overall perspective in a publication that also aims to acknowledge and compare what has already been studied under many aspects, we have chosen to adapt the scale on which the sites quoted are represented and classified to the situations in individual regions. The maps do, in fact, belong to two maps focusing on Sardinia (Fig. 2) and Crete (Fig. 3), associated with the corresponding bibliography and lists of sites (Tabs. 1 and 2).

The nations or regions where the phenomenon has been recognized are Albania, Algeria, western Armenia, Bosnia Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Cyprus, northern Egypt, southern France, western Georgia, Gibraltar, Jordan, Greece, Israel, Italy, Lebanon, Libya, Macedonia, Malta, Montenegro, Morocco, southern Moldavia, Palestine, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Serbia (including Kosovo), Syria, Spain, Switzerland, Tunisia, Turkey and southern Ukraine (Tab. 3). Unfortunately the need to mark the geographical limits of this study has led to the inevitable exclusion of some areas with a strong troglodyte tradition, to be found in the immediate proximity of the Mediterranean, but information on these areas was however collected, in view of an extension of the research.
single excavation or to a large complex with several different functions. For example, a single code has always been assigned to the numerous volcanic islands on the Mediterranean Rim, even in the cases of Ischia, Ponza or Santorini, where there are numerous examples of dwellings, dug-out utilities and religious sites, also found together in homogeneous complexes that are clearly distinct one from the other. This is because the density of sites did not allow their clear representation at the scale of this research and, even more, of this paper. On the other hand, at some municipalities, particularly where there is a greater concentration of cases, such as the southern sectors of Italy, Tunisia or Spain, several codes have been assigned in order to show the frequency of the subterranean network over the territory in those areas where troglodyte dwellings were the rule. Nonetheless, a considerable difference in the number of places recognized in each nation (see Tab. 3) is still noticeable and depends both on the actual range of the phenomenon, which is connected to the geological features of the territory, to population density and to the history of the regions included in today’s states, and on the extension of their territories or the part under consideration. The differing quantity or quality of the studies and publications devoted to the topic and their accessibility and consultation by the authors have doubtlessly also contributed to the imbalance which certainly exists, but perhaps not so drastically, between the countries of the north-west Mediterranean and those on the other side of the sea. The task of rationalising such diverse information is entrusted to the list published together with the maps. Here, not only is an alpha number code assigned to each site, to be used for locating the site on the map and identifying the country in question, as well as the function assigned to the excavation, but also the name of the place where it has to be found, the name of the excavation itself, if known, its position in the municipal territory, district or hamlet, and all information useful to identify the excavation or excavations. In the examples mentioned above, the names of the islands are therefore followed by the names of villages or isolated houses, the names of churches or other sacred places, and the areas where cellars are to be found dug out of the rock or shelters for boats in caves. In other contexts, these excavations might have had an independent code. In the case of large agglomerates, for example Matera and the ravines (gravine) to be found in its territory, wherever there was actual discontinuity between the centres of excavation we preferred, instead, to assign different codes, all sharing the same reference name. Thus, while corresponding to the 23 maps, the list remains open to new future, or partial, data, as well as to a comparative study of the main functions found in the different Mediterranean regions. In creating the codes, the letter assigned to each map
Fig. 2 - Extract from the Mediterranean troglodytism map: the Sardinia island (N in the database, see Table 1 for details).

Fig. 2 - Estratto dalla carta del trogloditismo nel Mediterraneo: l’isola della Sardegna (N nella banca dati, vedi Tabella 1 per dettagli).

Fig. 3 - Extract from the Mediterranean troglodytism map: the island of Crete (U in the database, see Table 2 for details).

Fig. 3 - Estratto dalla carta del trogloditismo nel Mediterraneo: l’isola di Creta (U nella banca dati, vedi Tabella 2 per dettagli).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>FUNCTION AND OTHER INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N 000</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F031</td>
<td>Santa Galdana</td>
<td>D / Cala Macarello</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 001</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F098</td>
<td>Santa Galdana</td>
<td>A / Cala Trebalugger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 002</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F098</td>
<td>Cala 'N Porter</td>
<td>D / Cova d'en Xoroi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 003</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F031/F139</td>
<td>Calas Corvus</td>
<td>A* / Cales Coves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 004</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F098</td>
<td>Villacarlos</td>
<td>AD / Ees Castell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 005</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F060</td>
<td>Porto Torres</td>
<td>B / San Gavino a Mare (Santu Bainzu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 006</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Aighero</td>
<td>B / San Pietro (Santu Pedru), Capo Caccia: Sant'Erasmus (Sant'Elmi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 007</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Romana</td>
<td>B / San Lussorio (Santu Lussului)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 008</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F151</td>
<td>Sassari</td>
<td>CE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 009</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F060/F143</td>
<td>Sedini</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 010</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Ploaghe</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 011</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Ardara</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 012</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F151</td>
<td>Mores</td>
<td>AB / Masso di Sant'Eliseo (Su Crastu dei Santu Eliseu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 013</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Mores</td>
<td>AD / Grotta di San Marco (S'lstampa de Santu Marcu), Grotta delle Fate (S'lstampa e Sas Fadas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 014</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Cheremule</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 015</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Padria</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 016</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Bonorva</td>
<td>B / Sant'Andrea Priu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 017</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Bosa</td>
<td>AD / Sa Costa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 018</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Noragugume</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 019</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Abbasanta</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 020</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Santu Lussurgiu</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 021</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Cuglieri</td>
<td>B / Sa Spelunca de Nonna (Surugiu, dessu Rugiu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 022</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F060</td>
<td>Cabras</td>
<td>B / San Salvatore (Santu Sarbodore)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 023</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Usselus</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 024</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Fordongianus</td>
<td>B / Santu Lussorio (Santu Lussurgiu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 025</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Sorgono</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 026</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Oniferi</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 027</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Furtei</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 028</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Fluminimaggiore</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 029</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Domusnovas</td>
<td>B / San Giovanni (Santu Juanu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 030</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F060/F151</td>
<td>Sant’Antioco</td>
<td>A* / Fortino Sabaudo (Guardia du su Pisu), Villaggio Ipoega (S’Arruga e is Gruttas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 031</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022/F060/F151</td>
<td>Cagliari</td>
<td>A* / Sant’Avendraco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 032</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F012/F022/F126</td>
<td>Cagliari</td>
<td>A*CD / B / Sant’Agostino (Santu Agostinu), Sant’Efisio (Santu Efis), San Bardillo (Santa Maria de Portu Gruttis), San Guglielmo, Santa Maria Assunta (Santa Maria de Castraddu): Santuario dei Martiri (Cripta de is Murtiris Innumerabilis), Santa Restituta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 033</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Arzachena</td>
<td>AD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 034</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F022</td>
<td>Lanusei</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 035</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Buggerru</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 036</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Masua</td>
<td>D / Porto Flavia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1 - List of troglodyte sites in Sardinia.
Tab. 1 - Elenco dei siti trogloditici in Sardegna.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE codice</th>
<th>COUNTRY nazione</th>
<th>SOURCE fonte</th>
<th>NAME Nome</th>
<th>FUNCTION AND OTHER INFORMATION Funzioni e altre informazioni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U 000</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>F129</td>
<td>Fethiye (Telmessos)</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 001</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Rodóros (Rodó)</td>
<td>B / Monólithos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 002</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F063</td>
<td>Kárpathos (Scarpanto)</td>
<td>B / Avela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 003</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Kárpathos (Scarpanto)</td>
<td>AD / Lefkós</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 004</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Kárpathos (Scarpanto)</td>
<td>B / Vourgeounta: Áyios Ioánnis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 005</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F063</td>
<td>Kos</td>
<td>A / Kéfalos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 006</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Kos</td>
<td>D / Andímákhia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 007</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F018/F048</td>
<td>Pátmos</td>
<td>B / Chóra: Sállo tis Apokálypsis, Grigó: Kalikatsou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 008</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Ánafi</td>
<td>D / Áyios Nikólaos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 009</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>GRF122/F124/F151</td>
<td>Thira (Santorini)</td>
<td>A* / Firá (Chóra), Oia, Foiníkia, Karterádhos, Kontochori, Imérovigli, Firostefáni, Messariá, Vóthonas, Mésa Goniá, Pýrgos: Kástell B / Firá, Heptapédion, Áyia Triás, Vóthonas D / Ármonai, Athíniós</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 010</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F124/F145</td>
<td>Thirasía</td>
<td>A*D / Potamós, Thirasía</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 011</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Kimolos (Argentiera)</td>
<td>D / Godúa, Karrá, Psáthi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 012</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Mílos</td>
<td>B / Áyios Kostantínos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 013</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Chóliki</td>
<td>B / Chóliki (Nimbroi): Kelia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 014</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Rhódópoli</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 015</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Spólia</td>
<td>B / Áyios Ioánnis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 016</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Ródka</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 017</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Polirínia</td>
<td>A*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 018</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Topólla</td>
<td>B / Áyia Sofía</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 019</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Deltana</td>
<td>ABC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 020</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Fournés</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 021</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Nérokóuras</td>
<td>D / Koukoulíta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 022</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F018</td>
<td>Chórdaki</td>
<td>B / Katholíkou: Áyios Ioánnis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 023</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Pazínós</td>
<td>B / Áyios Yeóviós</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 024</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Gouvéneto</td>
<td>D / Koumarospilíou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 025</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Perivólia</td>
<td>D / Áyios Andéntios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 026</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Stylos</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 027</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Kyriakóliá</td>
<td>B / Áyios Mámás</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 028</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Áyia Roúmelí</td>
<td>B / Áyios Andéntios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 029</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Áyios Ioánnis</td>
<td>B / Áyios Andéntios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 030</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Loutrón</td>
<td>B / Áyios Andéntios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 031</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Chóra Sáklion</td>
<td>B / Áyios Andéntios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 032</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F151</td>
<td>Gávdhos</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 033</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Kástellos</td>
<td>B / Áyios Nikolás</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 034</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Árgyroupolis</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 035</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Réthymnon</td>
<td>A* / Panayía, Fortetsa: Áyios Spirídhon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 036</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>F091</td>
<td>Prínes</td>
<td>D / Phantaxospilía</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tab. 2 - List of troglodyte sites in Crete.

Tab. 2 - Elenco dei siti trogloditici nell’isola di Creta.

| U 037 | GR | F091 | Thronos | A
| U 038 | GR | F091 | Platania | D / Pana
| U 039 | GR | F091 | Verno | A
| U 040 | GR | F091 | Matala | A*C
| U 041 | GR | F091 | Kaloi Limes | B
| U 042 | GR | F091 | Pigadaki | B / Panayia Martsalo
| U 043 | GR | F091 | Vasiliki | B / Panayia
| U 044 | GR | F091 | Achentrias | B / Ayios Nikitas
| U 045 | GR | F091 | Ayios Thomos (Pannonia) | A*
| U 046 | GR | F091 | Koudourni | B / Kopinias: Panayia Plakiotti, Ayios Ioannis, Ayios Ioannis Prodromos
| U 047 | GR | F091 | Kanii Kasteli | B / Prophiti Ilias
| U 048 | GR | F091 | Ayios Vlassis | A*D
| U 049 | GR | F091 | Spilia | A*
| U 050 | GR | F091 | Ayios Irini | A*
| U 051 | GR | F091 | Mastambas (Magarades) | A*
| U 052 | GR | F091 | Parios | A*
| U 053 | GR | F091 | Itrakion | A* / Patelles, Katasava (Katsambas), Chrisophigi (Meskinia), Trypiti
| U 054 | GR | F091 | Kainourio Chori | B / Ayia Anastasia
| U 055 | GR | F091 | Knoudhetson | B / Panayia Spiliotissa, Ayia Paraskevi, Ayios Andonis, Ayios Panteleimon
| U 056 | GR | F091 | Panayia | A
| U 057 | GR | F091 | Chrysopti | D / Patsou
| U 058 | GR | F091 | Voukolia Kastelou | A
| U 059 | GR | F091 | Pervos | B / Psarimadara: Metamorphosis
| U 060 | GR | F091 | Adrianos | A
| U 061 | GR | F091 | Anatali | D / Parathiri
| U 062 | GR | F069 | Kalo Chori | B / Moni Faneromenis
| U 063 | GR | F091 | Koutsounara | B / Ayios Pavlos
| U 064 | GR | F091 | Lastros | BCD
| U 065 | GR | F069 | Kapsa | B / Ayios Ioannis Prodromos
| U 066 | GR | F091 | Ayias Triadas | D / Alogara
| U 067 | GR | F091 | Katelonas | B / Panayia Gouda
| U 068 | GR | F069 | Kanene | B / Ayios Andonis
| U 069 | GR | F151 | Antkythira | D
| U 070 | GR | F151 | Kythira | B / Mylopotamos: Ayia Sophia
| U 071 | GR | F048 | Ayinya | B / Alpha
| U 072 | GR | F151 | Kea (Tzla) | BD / Ioulidha (Ioulis, Chora)
| U 073 | GR | F151 | Amorgos | B / Chora: Panayia Chosoviotissa
| U 074 | GR | F151 | Ikaria | B / Ayios Teothokos
| U 075 | GR | F151 | Samos | B / Pythagorion: Panayia Spiliani
| U 076 | GR | F151 | Nisyros | B / Mandraki: Panayia Spiliani, Palai: Panayia Therapani
| U 077 | GR | F151 | Leros | B / Xirocampos
is followed by a three-digit number code identifying the place included in the census, geographical data including the country and the name of the closer town or village, markings of the site’s functions in the form of letters, and a number referring the reader to the bibliographical or oral sources where the whole or partial excavations were quoted or described.

The functions recognized and taken into consideration in this study are as follows: ancient troglodyte or semi-troglodyte dwellings (A), contemporary troglodyte or semi-troglodyte dwellings (from the beginning of the 20th century onwards, A*), places of worship and religious dwellings (mosques, churches, hermitages, monasteries, pagan sanctuaries, B), temporary shelters and defences (C), utilities (cellars, granaries, workshops, thermal baths, but not funerary architecture or aqueduct and other water storage systems, D).

These codes – also marking a lack of information on the real function, which is often unclear in ancient excavations (E) – are currently under review, in order to be aligned with the codes and typologies identified and defined by the National Commission cavities of the Italian Speleological Society in the formalization of the National Register of cavities and its seven chapters.

Cross consultation of the data contained in the list is thus useful both for studying any regional characteristics in our troglodyte heritage, such as the considerable density of mountainside churches in southern Italy or the defence systems in south-west France, and for understanding the vitality of the individual components of Mediterranean troglodytism. In particular, we have opted to have the contemporary usage of the homes highlighted in our codes, as these cases are the most vulnerable and most threatened by extinction, as well as the first to be abandoned in most cases. This makes it possible for us to see that Spain, southern Italy, the border area between Tunisia and Libya, and Cappadocia are the areas in which the custom of cave-dwelling has stood up to the onslaught of modern building for the longest period of time.

Today, Spain appears to be the country most affected by the phenomenon, with recent examples of recovery and percentages of cave dwellers which, in some Andalusian municipalities, result in over 50% of all homes. But since a couple of decades in all northern Mediterranean regions rock dwellings are being partially recovered in the areas most affected by cultural tourism, such as Matera or some areas of Cappadocia, or by environmental tourism, such as all the small islands and the countryside of Provence in France.

Things are different in the southern Mediterranean, where abandonment, although more recent, risks to become permanent. The climate and the poverty of the terrains are a cause of emigration to distant places, resulting in the complete abandonment of crops, villages and caves, thereby initiating a gradual process whereby the excavations return to their natural state.

Fig. 4 - Athens: Caves known as Prison of Socrates (photo M. Mainetti).

Tab. 3 - List of the Mediterranean countries for which bibliographic documentation on troglodyte settlements has been found.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia (West)</td>
<td>ARM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia Herzegovina</td>
<td>BH</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt (North)</td>
<td>ET</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (South)</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>GE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibraltar</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>HKJ</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libya</td>
<td>LAR</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>MK</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>MNE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova (South)</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestine</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td>RSM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia (Including Kosova)</td>
<td>SRB</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syria</td>
<td>SYR</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>TR</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine (South)</td>
<td>UA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 3 - Elenco dei paesi del Bacino del Mediterraneo per i quali è stata rinvenuta documentazione bibliografica sugli insediamenti trogloditici.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Surname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td>Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipality</td>
<td>Direct knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District or hamlet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other information of use in locating site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Bibliographic reference if appropriate:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling places during the 20th century</td>
<td>Author</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More ancient dwellings</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacred places</td>
<td>Publisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other functions (please specify)</td>
<td>Town or city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Date of publication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes (photographs, drawings, contacts and anything else of use in defining the characteristics of the subterranean site)

The architecture must be excavated or partially excavated out of rock.
Individual hypogeal works should not be indicated, unless they are isolated, but rather the site as a whole.
A different form must be filled in for each site cited in the same bibliography.
A different form must be filled in for each bibliographical reference for the same site.

Please forward to Mario Mainetti, via Niccolini 2, 20154 Milan, Italy, e-mail: mariakis@libero.it

Tab. 4 - Data sheet used for the Mediterranean troglodytism database.

Tab. 4 - Scheda-tipo utilizzata per la banca dati del trogloditismo nel Mediterraneo.

Fig. 5 - Malta: the settlement of Mellieha (photo M. Mainetti).

Fig. 5 - Malta: l’insediamento di Mellieha (foto M. Mainetti).
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The troglodytic castles of the Northern Vosges (France) and Palatinat (Germany)

Luc Stevens*

Abstract

On both sides of the French-German border, the regions of Palatinat (Germany) and Northern Vosges (France) possess, in an area of approximately 400 km², more than 30 castles that have the peculiarity of being at least partly hewn into the rock mass. This high density of excavated developments has brought them the name of “troglodytic castle” although much of their structure was built above the ground. Their location at the top of long and narrow spurs of sandstone has also brought them the name of “rock-castle”, which illustrates their specific situation and their potential to host troglodytic settlements. Built from the end of the 11th century and the beginning of the 12th century, these castles have evolved up to the 16th and 17th centuries and show today an amalgam of built and hewn structures from various eras that make their interpretations often complex and subject to caution. We will try as much as possible in this article to highlight the hewn characteristics of these castles without going into all the details which would require much greater space. In addition, the panorama that we will present will necessarily be truncated and will concentrate on the troglodytic aspects of the castles, putting aside voluntarily a large part of the built up structures. The latter will only be mentioned from time to time when it is considered to be useful to understand the rock-hewn structures or the castle as a whole.

KEY WORDS: troglodytic castle, Vosges, France, Palatinat, Germany.

Riassunto

I castelli trogloditici dei Vosgi settentrionali (Francia) e della Foresta Palatina (Germania)

Su entrambi i versanti del confine franco-tedesco, le regioni della Foresta Palatina (Palatinat, in Germania) e dei Vosgi settentrionali (Francia) presentano, in un’area di poco più di 400 km², più di 30 castelli che hanno la peculiarità di essere stati almeno in parte scavati nella roccia. Questa alta densità di sviluppo di scavo in roccia ha fatto guadagnare a tali strutture la denominazione di “castelli trogloditici”, anche se va ricordato che la maggior parte delle strutture risulta costruita al di sopra della superficie del terreno. La loro ubicazione, inoltre, sulla sommità di strette dorsali in roccia o di speroni rocciosi di arenaria, ha fatto sì che essi vengano anche chiamati “castelli in roccia”, il che illustra la loro specificità ed il relativo potenziale ad ospitare insediamenti trogloditici. Costruiti a partire dalla fine dell’XI secolo e l’inizio del XII secolo, questi castelli sono stati in funzione sino ai secoli XVI e XVII, e presentano tutt’oggi un insieme di strutture costruite e scavate in roccia nelle varie epoche, che rende particolarmente complessa la loro analisi e suggerisce di essere cauti nel trarre affrettate conclusioni. In questo articolo si cercherà di concentrare l’attenzione sulle caratteristiche di scavo in roccia nei castelli trogloditici, senza entrare troppo in dettagli ulteriori, che richiederebbero maggiore spazio e più approfondite analisi. Inoltre, il panorama che si presenta sarà necessariamente limitato alle caratteristiche trogloditiche dei castelli, lasciando volontariamente da parte gli aspetti relativi alla parte costruita delle strutture. Queste ultime saranno citate di quando in quando, se considerate utili per una migliore comprensione dei caratteri trogloditici dei castelli.

PAROLE CHIAVE: castelli trogloditici, Vosgi, Francia, Foresta palatina, Germania.

* Société Française d’Etude des Souterrains (www.souterrains.eu); author e-mail: troglo21@yahoo.fr
**Introduction**

On both sides of the French-German border, the regions of Palatinat (Germany) and Northern Vosges (France) possess, in an area of approximately 400 km², more than 30 castles that have the peculiarity of being partly rock-hewn (Fig. 1). This high density of excavated developments has brought them the name of "troglodytic castle" although much of their structure was built above ground. Their situation on the top of long and narrow spurs of sandstone has also brought them the name of “rock-castle”, which illustrates their specific situation and their potential to host troglodytic settlements.

Built from the end of the 11th century and the beginning of the 12th century, these castles have evolved up to the 16th and 17th centuries and show today an amalgam of built and hewn structures from various eras that make the interpretations of these castles often complex and subject to caution. We will try as much as possible in this article to highlight the hewn characteristics of these castles without going into all the details which would require much greater space.

In addition, the panorama that we will present will necessarily be truncated and will concentrate on the troglodytic aspects of the castles, putting aside voluntarily a large part of the built up structures. The latter will only be mentioned from time to time when it is considered to be useful to understand the rock-hewn structures or the castle as a whole.

**Historical context**

The emergence of rock-hewn castles takes place in the heart of the Middle Ages, at the end of the 11th century and at the beginning of the 12th century (1129 for the Castle of Fleckenstein, 1135 for the castle of Lutzelhardt).

At that time, tension arose along the border between the Duchy of Lorraine (to the west) and the German Holy Empire (to the East) where the Hohenstaufen dominated. On the one hand, the tension was related to the control of Alsace that had already been separated from the High-Lorraine to be integrated in the Souabe in 1079. On the other hand, there was also tension for the Hohenstaufen to secure the freedom of circulation along the road between Trifels (Palatinat) and...
Haguenau where the Emperor held his court.
The struggles to hold power and control this region
gave place, on both sides of the border and on the whole
territory of the Northern Vosges and Palatinate, to the
origin of the castles. These castles control the border,
routes and valleys and provide the occupier with prestige
and wealth. So, while the Hohenstauffen built a Castle
at Fleckenstein, on one side of the border, the Dukes of
Lorraine built another one at Lutzelnhardt on the other
side. In the Northern Palatinate, the Hohenstauffen
counteracted the building of the Dahn Castle by building
the Berwarstein Castle (Herremans & Salch, 1998).
The reign of the Hohenstauffen is interrupted in the
middle of the 12th century and gives place to a chaotic
interregnum. The death of Frédéric II, in 1250, is not
followed by a strong central power and gave the free-
dom to local lords. In 1273, the arrival of Rodolphe of
Habsburg as the central power interrupts the domina-
tion and the disorganised expansionism of the local
seigniors. The latter are made, by force (siege) or by
dissuasion, to again swear allegiance to the king.

Over the ages, the inheritance of castles becomes a
source of dispute within families: castles are then
split into several parts that can, in some cases (e.g. at
Wasigenstein), be in opposition against each other. The
second half of the 15th century is marked, on the one
hand, by a loss of power by the small local lords whose
revenues decrease due to successive division and, on
the other hand, by the increase in power of a small
number of lords who have succeeded in keeping their
influence at the various levels of power.
The decrease in power of the minority nobility classes
continues up to the 15th century and is accompanied
by an increase in the power of cities with their new
production centres (and therefore wealth), as well as by
a growing share of the population. Cities are fortified
and have war and defence mechanisms that are much
more developed than can be afforded by the lords own-
ing small castles.

In addition, rock-castles that were developed in the
Northern Vosges and Palatinate during the 12th century
are not suitable any more for the new warfare tech-
niques. Heavy machines cannot be easily manipulated
on the top of these narrow spurs and the arrival of the
powder reduces significantly the efficiency of these
high buildings.

However, all existing castles do not disappear. Some of
them are modernised (Fleckenstein, Lichtenberg) to
counter these new warfare techniques. These works
include the building of new walls that are lower and
which can better defend the entrance and the bailey.
New lower towers with wider arrow slits situated at
the height of the enemy are built during the 15th cen-
tury. All these transformations are accompanied by the
embellishment of the castle in order to adhere to the
new aesthetical canons of that time.

Other castles acquire a role of prestige and are convert-
ed into comfortable houses as required by the emerg-
ing Renaissance (Novelle Windstein, Petit Arnsberg).
In those cases, the existing defences are not removed,
so that they maintain some prestige, but they remain
completely outdated.

The fortress becomes a residence which includes luxu-
ry to a greater or lesser extent.

At the end of the 15th century and during the 16th cen-
tury, some castles are for the last time improved after
the Hundred Years war.

At the end of the 16th century, the castles are not adapt-
ed to the heavy artillery. Many of the castles cannot
resist attacks by cannon and become obsolete. The only
structure able to resist such assaults are half-buried
underground fortresses that include ditches and are
shaped like a star in order to surprise the enemy from
the rear. In the Northern Vosges, only two castles, not
hewn into the rock, will be adapted to this evolution:
Lichtenberg and Herrenstein.

In the 17th century, the French troops destroy the less
strategic castles and modernise the others situated
along the roads and the borders.

**Geological context**

The geological bedrock of the Northern Vosges is main-
ly composed of a thick layer of sandstone that dates
back to the Primary and Secondary era. The geologi-
cal undulation of the Tertiary era and more specifically
the collapse of the Rhine Rift Valley as well as the for-
mation of the Alps have exerted high pressure on the
sandstone leading to the creation of faults. These latter
have favoured erosion to create a landscape with many
wide valleys, hills and mountains whose altitude varies
between 200 m and 600 m a.s.l. At the top, spurs and
the collapse of rocks have led to the creation of large
pink/red cliffs that dominate the valleys.

These spurs and cliffs, difficult to reach, have been
used at several times in the past as shelter and control
for the local populations.

From the end of the 11th century and the beginning of
the 12th century, those spurs of red sandstone will be
used to build the cliff castles, a large part of which is
hewn into the rock (Fig 2).
Characteristics of northern Vosges troglodytic castles

The large spurs of sandstone constitute a perfect sub-stratum for the creation of rock hewn facilities, be it defensive or utilitarian. Among the latter, we will distinguish between facilities that aim at managing water, hoists, stables and other areas hewn into the rock.

Defensive facilities

The spur has a mandatory passage to reach the top and the heart of the castle; it has been hewn from the 12th and 13th centuries to facilitate the access to the castle and also serves to control it. All defensive works are certainly not rock-hewn: baileys are generally protected with walls, towers, doors and other defensive buildings.

If the access to the bailey is largely built, that leading from the bailey to the castle is generally hewn into the rock: either inside the spur (Wasigenstein, Fleckenstein; Figs. 3 and 4), or in the rock face (Lutzelhardt; Froensbourg; Fig. 5).

In almost all cases, the access path that leads to the castle does not immediately reach the top platform, but rather it reaches first a network of cellars just below the platform (Figs. 6 and 7).

Fig. 3 - Petit-Wasigenstein: access inside the spur.
Fig. 3 - Petit-Wasigenstein: accesso all’interno dello sperone roccioso.

Fig. 4 - Fleckenstein: Roman entrance (12th century) hewn into the rock.
Fig. 4 - Fleckenstein: ingresso romano (12° secolo) scavato nella roccia.
In the case of Ramestein, the access path that is hewn in the rock face of the spur suddenly turns inside the spur by means of an open-air trench that split the spur into two parts. The bottom of this trench gives access to an underground room defended by a door whose closing system is still preserved in the rock. It is only via this underground room that we can reach the top platform from where it is particularly easy to control access to the open-air trench. The paths hewn into the rock-face of the spur are generally protected by walls breached with holes to bring in light, at the same time providing control outside of the castle. The existence of such walls is testified by postholes situated at close intervals and hewn into the sandstone.

The access path to the top was also closed by several doors that are still noticeable by the holes that housed the hinges, by the closing system hewn into the rock (Fig. 8) and by a recess in the rock to allow the door to fully open.

In addition, the access path to the top is sometime defended by glacis or cut-off corners that interrupt the path (Fig. 9). Some removable footbridges were probably used to cross these obstacles. A door situated directly behind the glacis can further reinforce the defence of the access. In the Vieux-Windstein, the first underground room can only been reached after two ditches, both of which are followed by a door (Fig. 10).

Finally, in the Castle of Lutzelhardt, the access path is also protected by a vertical murder hole situated just in front of a door which was managed from the top of the castle. The hole has been hewn into an existing fault of the sandstone that has been widened (Fig. 11).
Utilitarian facilities

Water management
Water conveyance in mountainous areas is one of the key challenges for castle designers. The water table is sometimes at more than 100 m under the top platform where the castle is situated; water supplies alternative to wells have also been implemented.

a. Water wells
The water well is the most traditional and probably the most efficient way to provide water to a castle (Fig. 12). In the Castle of Berwarstein, the water well reaches a depth of 104 m. It has probably been excavated by miners with specific mining techniques. In such works, to ensure air circulation for the miners, the well was divided vertically into two parts. A fire was kept burning on the top of one of the shafts which created a downdraft toward the bottom of the well where miners were working (Herreman & Salch, 1998).
In the castle of Lemberg, the water well has been dug up to a depth of 94.8 m without reaching the water. To avoid losing such a huge work, a horizontal gallery, 131 m long, has been hewn from the depth of 60 m towards the castle’s springs in order to provide the well with water. Archaeological excavation between 1933 and 1966 has allowed water to be restored to the well and to understand its mechanism (http://www.burglemberg.de/).
Finally, to limit digging works, water wells could be started at the foot of the spur (in the bailey) or in the middle of it rather than at the top of the platform. In the castle of Fleckenstein, the water well has been dug from the middle of the cliff and saved 13 m of digging.

Fig. 8 - Drachenfels: closing system hewn into the rock.
Fig. 8 - Drachenfels: sistema di chiusura scavato nella roccia.

Fig. 9 - Vieux-Windstein: glacis or cut-off corners protecting the access to the castle.
Fig. 9 - Vieux-Windstein: ripido pendio che protegge l’accesso al castello.

Fig. 10 - Vieux-Windstein: double ditch that defends the access to the castle.
Fig. 10 - Vieux-Windstein: doppio fossato di difesa per l’accesso al castello.
The water well has next been encased in a walled tower up to the top of the castle.

**b. Cisterns**

It is not always possible to dig water wells in mountainous areas. In such circumstances, rainwater and spring water are collected and stocked in cisterns within the castle. In the Castle of Hohenfels, an archive indicates that cisterns hewn into the rock were supplied with water derived from springs in the valley carried up by mules (Biller et al., 2003).

Rainwater falling onto roofs was collected by gutters and drains leading toward the cisterns.

**c. Filtration cisterns**

Filtration cisterns constitute a specific case of cisterns that can be found in almost all castle of the Northern Vosges and Palatinat and which have been revealed by R. Kill (Biller et al., 2003). The filtration cistern allows the water to be purified and collected in the castle before its consumption. The walls of the ditch, dug into the rock, are firstly covered with clay in order to make the reservoir waterproof. In the middle of the ditch, a well is built with blocks, while the remaining part of the ditch around the well is filled in with sand and small stones to be used as the filtering mechanism. The water collected is first brought to the large reservoir and it is allowed to filter slowly into the central well where the water can be drawn for consumption (Figs. 13 and 14).

This system was in place in the 12th century in the troglodytic castles of the Northern Vosges and Palatinat and constituted for most of them the only water supply to the top platform and also sometimes to the bailey.

**d. The dripstones**

Dripstones constitute a network of channels cut into the cliffs and walls in order to collect run-off water. These channels, sometime more than 10 m long, bring water toward the cisterns, filtering cisterns or directly toward watering places for animals.

In the Castle of Wasigenstein, the dripstones hewn into the wall of the ditch all converge towards the cistern that is protected by a door. The water was drawn via this door and next placed into a niche from where another dripstone fed the water to the animal watering place (Fig. 15).

**Hoists**

Spurs with castles at the top are locally more than 30 m high and have been specifically selected for the protection they can offer to the inhabitants. However, the building of a keep, walls, houses and other buildings is not an easy task given the difficulty to access to these
high platforms. Staircases hewn into the rock of the spur have certainly facilitated bringing some building material to the top, but they are often very narrow, and further presents some bends (Fig. 16).

To facilitate the transport of goods and materials to the top of the castle, hoists with treadwheels have been built at the foot or the top of the spur (Fig. 17).

The base of the spur is generally wider than the top and it has generally been cut in order to allow the hoist to be as vertical as possible up to the top edge of the platform. Today, we can easily recognize, hewn into the rock, the rounded traces of the treadwheels, as well as the hole in which the axle of the treadwheel was fixed (Fig. 18).

In the Castle of Fleckenstein, in order to reach as close as possible the edge of the top platform, the hoist has been placed into the column of the water well.

The treadwheel has been placed into a blind troglodytic room that can be reached from the bailey through a small corridor that crosses the water well by a foot bridge and leads to this underground engine room (Fig. 19).

---

Fig. 14 - Principle of functioning of a filtration cistern as established by R. Kill.

Fig. 15 - Wasigenstein: dripstone collecting the water along the cliff towards a cistern protected by a door. The water of the cistern was next drawn via this door and placed into a niche from where another dripstone led the water to the animal watering place.

---

Fig. 15 - Wasigenstein: solco in roccia per la raccolta dell’acqua lungo parete, e immissione verso una cisterna chiusa da porta. L’acqua della cisterna era trasportata attraverso tale apertura in una nicchia dalla quale tramite un’ulteriore scanalatura giungeva all’abbeveratoio per gli animali.
At the extreme southern end of the Castle of Falkenstein, the rising column of the hoist has been created by digging into the rock along its total height, thus crossing the primitive staircase that was modified (Herrenmann & Salch, 1998; Fig. 20).

**Stables**  
Horses have received specific attention in Northern Vosges and Palatinat castles. The bailey has special facilities to house them.

In the Castle of Falkenstein, Herrenmann & Salch (1998) have identified two stables. A first one from the 12th century can be recognized with its mangers, together with a round pit which was probably used to drain the slurry. A second one dates back to 1575 and has been created in what was described in 1474 as a wine cellar. The ten mangers have been realized in a former cupboard so we can assume that this room had another function before being used as wine cellar and stable. The tradition mentions it as a guard room.

In the castle of Alt-Dahn, the artillery tower of the 15th century built along the cliff gives access to a troglodytic stable (Fig. 21). We find here again mangers and drains leading to a slurry pit. The room is totally hewn into the rock and is lit by a small window splayed towards the inside in order to maximise the light penetrating the room.

In other castles, like Fleckenstein and Windstein, stables are not troglodytic, but simply constructed in the bailey. They are recognisable thanks to the rings hewn into the rock (Fig. 22) and the watering place. According to Herrenmann & Salch (1998) the stables of the castle belonging to the Stauffen were generally outside in the bailey, while those belonging to Lords were generally hewn into the rock.
OTHER FACILITIES
To finalise this overview, we need to mention some further facilities that characterise all or some of the troglodytic castles of the Northern Vosges and Palatinat. Some of these facilities are not specific to troglodytic castles, but rather to all troglodytic settlements. We find in all castles many niches that were used to receive objects of daily life.

The castle of Fleckenstein also includes a dungeon: totally dug into the sandstone, it looks like a trapezoid ditch with a slot to receive a door.

The limited space on the top platforms due to the narrowness of the spurs has led to creation of oriels (Fig. 23) that are still recognisable as the parallel slots cut into the rock.

The Castle of Tanstein (Dahn) has the peculiarity to have a chimney whose back and jambs are carved into the sandstone (Fig. 24). Next to it, in the Castle of Alt-Dahn, traces of silos are still recognisable.

Finally, in many places, scaffolding support or putlock holes are the last reminder of a widespread semi-troglodytic architecture.

CONCLUSIONS
The overview of the most frequent troglodytic facilities in Northern Vosges and Palatinat castles has tried to outline the occurrence of these facilities in the castles and their possible evolution over time.

We can highlight the high prevalence of rock-hewn elements for access to the top platforms. The castle and the keep are not hewn into the rock but solidly built on the top platform in the form of long and narrow buildings.

Defensive facilities hewn into the rock are generally concentrated on the door that is, in turn, often hewn
into the rock (up to the 12th century) and in the access path to the top. Utilitarian facilities such as hoists, cisterns, rooms and stables are generally concentrated in the bailey and just under the top platform which is literally mined by a network of “cellars”.

This overview concentrates on rock-hewn facilities and voluntarily does not assess all built facilities. Further research should help to better understand the relative place of troglodytic facilities compared to those that are built-up, as well as the evolution of the role of troglodytic facilities in the organisation of the castles.
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Underground refuges and war tunnels (France, Cappadocia, Afghanistan, Vietnam and Lebanon)

Jérôme Triolet¹, Laurent Triolet¹

Abstract

We have been studying underground cavities dug by man, with particular reference to underground refuges, for 25 years. Thanks to this research, the function and the organization of underground refuges in France and other countries have been better understood. Recently, a synthetic work about the use of underground cavities during war has been published in the book “La guerre souterraine” (Underground Warfare). Through this work, we are able to present two categories of underground cavities dug by man during war: underground refuges and war tunnels. For the two categories, we present in this article several examples of cavities dug in different countries and used at different times. Our purpose is to show that, despite the historical and geographic differences, all the cavities included in each of these categories present an important architectural unity. This common architecture is related to an identical function. We will also point out the existing differences between underground refuges and war tunnels.

Key words: underground refuges, war tunnels, war.

Riassunto

rifugi sotterranei e gallerie di guerra (francia, cappadocia, afghanistan, vietnam e libano)


Parole chiave: rifugi sotterranei, gallerie di guerra, guerra.

In the North West and South West of France researchers have mapped more than 600 underground refuges (called souterrains-refuges in French; Fig. 1). The smallest underground refuges feature a single room served by a single access corridor. This elementary form can accommodate a few people. Most underground refuges are larger and include 3 or 5 rooms connected by corridors, with a total length of 30 to 50 m (Fig. 2). These rooms could accommodate dozens of people. The larger refuges are often divided in two branches, but they also have a single entrance corridor (Triolet & Triolet, 1995, 2002, 2003, 2011). Vital facilities have been dug into the galleries and mainly into the rooms. There are vent pipes drilled in the ceiling or ceramic vent pipes installed in air shaft, big niches dug in the wall, small niches made to receive fat lamps, grain silos excavated in the soil or the wall of rooms, stone benches and water wells. All these equipments indicate that people could live for a few days inside the cavity.

¹ www.mondesouterrain.fr
In underground refuges, the narrow and winding corridors often change direction. The narrowness and the bends make the progression difficult in the gallery. Together with the dissimulation of the entry, it provides a first line of protection for refugees. Various defense systems dug into the rock complement this first line. Grooves have been carved in the walls to install thick wooden doors which close the corridors or the entrances of the rooms (Fig. 3). Narrow passages with diameter of 50 or 40 cm force the visitors to crawl in order to progress inside the refuge (Fig. 4). Wells or silos especially excavated in the soil of the gallery are very dangerous booby traps. Doors, narrow passages and traps are passive defense systems.

In addition to passive defense systems, in some underground refuges there is a very efficient active defense system. The wall of the access gallery is pierced with one or several loopholes. The loophole is associated with a passive defense system, most frequently with a door. Blocked by the obstacle, the assailant was obliged to remain in front of the opening of the loophole. It was very easy to shoot and hit the target (Fig. 5).

In the North West and South West of France, underground refuges were dug by small rural communities to protect themselves against attacks from looters.
They date back to the medieval period (from the 12th to the 16th century; Fig. 6). Many of them were dug because of the Hundred Years War (14th - 15th century) and the Wars of Religion (second half of the 16th century).

**Village underground refuges**

**Cappadocia, Turkey (8th - 15th century)**

In Cappadocia more than 50 “underground cities” (Fig. 7) have been listed (Triolet & Triolet, 1993, 2011; Bertucci et al., 1995; Bixio et al., 2002; Bixio & De Pascale, 2012). Those “underground cities” are very complex networks including several dozen rooms connected by narrow and long corridors. One of the widest is the famous underground city of Derinkuyu, that includes several levels and slopes down to a depth of 45 m. Despite the impressive dimensions of the network of Derinkuyu, which could probably shelter about 700 to 1000 people, the capacity of most of these underground complexes did not exceed a few hundred people. Therefore, it should be more realistic to call them village underground refuges.

Cappadocian underground refuges and French underground refuges have a similar organization; the difference lies in the size and the number of entries. A Cappadocian village underground refuge amounts to the addition of several small French underground refuges and it has several accesses.

The Cappadocian refuges have got the same vital facilities: vent pipes, big and small niches, water wells and grain silos. For the defense, in a few village underground refuges, there are grooves carved in the rock to install wooden doors and narrow passages, but the most characteristic defense system is the stone door, that is a stone disc similar to a millstone (Figs. 8 and 9).
Fig. 7 - Distribution map of underground refuges in Cappadocia.

Fig. 7 - Carta della distribuzione dei rifugi sotterranei in Cappadocia.
Fig. 8 - Room protected by a stone door still closed, village underground refuge of Sivasa, Cappadocia. Height: 1,9 m (photo J. & L. Triolet).

Fig. 8 - Stanza protetta da una porta in pietra, ancora chiusa, nel villaggio sotterraneo di Sivasa, in Cappadocia. Altezza: 1,9 m (foto J. & L. Triolet).

Fig. 9 - Stone door kept in its position by a rocky pillar, village underground refuge of Göstesin, Cappadocia. Diameter of the door: 1,4 m (photo J. & L. Triolet).

Fig. 9 - Porta in pietra mantenuta nella sua posizione da un pilastro in roccia, villaggio sotterraneo di Göstesin, Cappadocia. Diametro della porta: 1,4 m (foto J. & L. Triolet).

All the “underground cities” of Cappadocia are protected by stone doors, some of them being very impressive in scale. The biggest stone door of the village underground refuge of Özkonak is 1,8 m high and 0,67 cm wide, with a total weight of 3,5 tons. The hole located in the centre of numerous stone doors was probably used as a loophole. In many Cappadocian refuges, there are also other loopholes pierced in the walls or the ceiling and opening in front of the door. In village underground refuges of Cappadocia, the multiple successive doors and the loopholes offer a complex and very efficient active defense.

Cappadocian village underground refuges were dug by rural communities to accommodate the population of the village. They were dug to protect it against Arabian raids (from the 8th to the 10th century) and against the attacks of the Ottomans and the Turkomans (from the 13th to the 15th century).

**Northern France (15th - 18th century)**

In Northern France, an area straddling the regions of Picardie and Nord-Pas-de-Calais (Fig. 10), researchers have mapped more than 50 village underground refuges (Fouédrin, 1979; Triolet & Triolet, 1995, 2011; Petit, 2001; Dewerdt et al., 2009).

These large refuges include up to hundreds of rooms connected by one to three access corridors, and could accommodate up to 500 people (Fig. 11). The map of those networks is different from that of the Cappadocian refuges. The single entry or the few access corridors are wider (more than 1 m) and higher (up to 2 m) to allow the movement of many people (Fig. 12). One or two thick wooden doors were installed to close this large gallery and, in some cases, there is a guardroom at the end of the gallery. Then, the access corridor leads to several galleries lined with rooms; these galleries are like streets with rooms on each side (Fig. 13). Each room belonged to a different family and was closed thanks to a lockable door; it accommodated people, grains and animals.

The defensive organization of the village underground refuges of Northern France is much simpler than that of the Cappadocian refuges. The access gallery was protected by thick wooden doors and by some guards using portable firearms like harquebuses. After this first line of defense, in each room, the refugees were only fitted with a wooden door.

In Northern France, village underground refuges were dug by the inhabitants of the villages to accommodate the population. They were dug to protect against the soldiers raids when the region was the border area between belligerents, in particular during the Wars of Religion (second half of the 16th century) and the Thirty Years’ War (17th century, from 1635 to 1659).
Fig. 11 - Plan of the village underground refuge of Hiermont, region of Amiens, France (topography J. & L. Triolet 1992 and after J.-P. Fourdrin 1976 for inaccessible parts).

Fig. 11 - Pianta del rifugio sotterraneo nel villaggio di Hiermont, regione di Amiens, Francia (rilievo J. & L. Triolet, 1992, e J.-P. Fourdrin, 1976 per i settori inaccessibili).
Vietnam (20th century)

According to Vietnamese authorities, in Northern Vietnam, in the region of Cap Lay located just north of the Demilitarized Zone (DMZ) separating Northern and Southern Vietnam (Fig. 14), 114 village underground refuges are listed (XUÂN, 2002; TROLET & TROLET, 2011). The North Vietnamese authorities dug these refuges during the Vietnam War from 1965 on. One of these cavities (the village underground refuge of Vinh Moc) is still accessible.

This refuge was excavated in the years 1965-1967 by the villagers with the assistance of border guards and the local militia. Around 250 people took part in the digging that lasted between 20 and 24 months. The network is located on the shoreline of the South China Sea, there are 13 entries giving access to 3 levels. It is composed of numerous rooms connected by narrow corridors, with a total length of approximately 300 m (Fig. 15). Near the surface, the upper level includes kitchens and 2 large air shafts. The intermediate level is the heart of the underground network, featuring a vast meeting room and long galleries forming streets with around forty rooms for families located on each side (Figs. 16 and 17). At this level, there are also 2 water wells, a latrine and a bomb shelter. The lower level gives access to the beach, it includes a dozen rooms used to store food, weapons and ammunition. Apart from a few doors, there is no defense system inside the large refuge, it was designed mainly to escape aerial surveillance and to provide an efficient bomb shelter. Despite this difference in function which is an adaptation to the constraints of modern warfare, the organization and the architecture of these Vietnamese underground refuges are very similar to those of underground networks existing in Northern France and even in the North West and South West of France.

High mountain underground complexes in Afghanistan (20th – 21st century)

Several underground complexes are known in the east of Afghanistan, near the Pakistan border. The Mudjahideen began to dig those underground networks at the end of 1980 during the Soviet invasion. For a greater protection, they dug the cavities at high
altitude in the walls of canyons and narrow valleys. They used explosives and construction machineries to excavate large tunnels, added weapons and ammunition storages, repair workshops, garages, a kitchen, a medical center, a mosque and even in some cases a hotel! On the mountain tops surrounding these complexes, Afghan fighters installed anti-aircraft batteries and dug trenches and shelters. Thanks to these underground complexes they were able to resist the Soviet and the Occidental troops (BAHMANYAR, 2004; TRIOLET & TRIOLET, 2011).

In September 1985, the Soviet Army could not take the underground complex of Zhawar. One year later, in April 1986, with the help of the regular Afghan Army they finally took the stronghold of Zhawar but, because of the danger, they had to leave it after 5 hours! During the battle, Soviet and Afghan troops lost 24 helicopters, 2 aircrafts and many soldiers (GRAU & JALALI, 2001). In December 2001, US army and Afghan militias had serious difficulties to take the underground complex of Tora Bora and 1000 Al Qaeda and Taliban fighters managed to escape to Pakistan. In March 2002, protected by the underground complex of Chah-e-Kot, Al Qaeda and Taliban stood up to US and coalition forces during 2 weeks. Eight US soldiers were killed and 47 injured, beside the loss of two helicopters.

These high mountain underground complexes are a contemporary variant of underground refuges, like the village underground refuge of Vinh moc; they are designed to escape aerial surveillance and to provide an

---

Fig. 14 - Distribution map of war tunnels and village underground refuges in Vietnam.

Fig. 15 - Schematic plan of the village underground refuge of Vinh Moc, Vietnam (drawing J. & L. Triolet 2004, modified after Culture and Information Administration of Quang Tri).

Fig. 15 - Pianta schematica del rifugio sotterraneo nel villaggio di Vinh Moc, in Vietnam (disegno J. & L. Triolet, 2004, modificato da: Culture and Information Administration of Quang Tri).
efficient bomb shelter. Unlike all the other refuges we have presented which protected civilians, the Afghan complexes seem to be only occupied by fighters.

**WAR TUNNELS**

**Vietnam, 20th century**

In Southern Vietnam, in the region of Saigon, there is an impressive network of tunnels dug mainly during the Vietnam War. The most famous of these tunnels are the tunnels of Cu-Chi. Around Cu-Chi and in the Iron Triangle (Fig. 14), there might have been up to 300 km of tunnels with a capacity of 16,000 people (Penycate & Mangold, 1985; Triolet & Triolet, 2011).

The corridors are very low (0.6 to 1.1 m high) and narrow (0.5 to 0.7 m wide), each section is several hundred meters long (Fig. 18). They often change direction, are divided into numerous branches and arranged on 2 or 3 levels. Hatches carefully disguised in the ground of the forest closed the entrances of the tunnels (Fig. 19). The hatches were very small.
Today in the tunnels of Ben Duoc, there is a hatch that is 30 cm long and 22 cm wide, and one can pass through the narrow opening! This tunnel network was connected externally to trenches and firing positions. The long and narrow tunnels also lead to half-buried rooms in which Viet Cong spent most of the time. They were used as kitchens, sleeping-rooms, meeting-rooms, infirmaries or operating rooms. At the lower level, the corridors lead to underground rooms, smaller and safer, that were used as bomb shelters and contained food, weapons and ammunition storages.

Thanks to the tunnels, the half-buried and underground rooms, Viet Cong fighters and Vietnamese villagers could escape aerial surveillance and protect themselves against repeated and destructive bombings. The predominance and the length of corridors show that they were also dug to allow Viet Cong fighters to escape mopping-up operations, to move secretly and to counter attack where they were not expected. The connections with trenches and firing positions confirm this function.

The US Army understood the military importance of the tunnels, and in 1966 created special units to explore and destroy the galleries: tunnel rats. On both sides, the fighting inside the tunnels was very fierce and very cruel. At the beginning of 1970, the tunnels were generally unusable by Viet Cong, but they helped to weaken the US Army. Because of the specific characteristics of Vietnamese underground networks and because of their direct involvement in the fight, they are different from underground refuges and we include them in a special category: war tunnels.

**Lebanon, 21st century**

In Southern Lebanon, the Hezbollah have dug tunnels and bunkers several kilometers long, in the rock with carefully disguised entrances. In July 2006, the Israeli Army entered Southern Lebanon. Despite air power and the great technological superiority of Tsahal, well protected inside the cavities and moving secretly thanks to the tunnels, Hezbollah fighters effectively resisted the enemy. Israeli forces lost 120 soldiers and around 60 tanks.

The galleries of South Lebanon seem to be a contemporary variant of Vietnam war tunnels. Opened in May 2010 in Southern Lebanon, the Mleeta museum shows a portion of tunnels and underlines this Vietnamese influence.

Whatever the time and the place, underground refuges present the same development and the same organization, including rooms for refugees connected by corridors, vital facilities and defense systems. From the Middle Ages, underground refuges have been efficient fortresses because they were hidden and because it was easy to defend oneself inside the narrow corridors. During modern warfare they offer an additional advantage: the occupants escape aerial surveillance and are relatively well protected against bombing. War tunnels are quite different and it seems that they have emerged more recently. War tunnels also include rooms but the network of underground galleries is more important extending over a wider area. The tunnels have many entrances and are connected with trenches and firing positions. Thus using underground refuges or war tunnels, underground warfare is the weapon of the weak against the strong. Today this underground warfare is still efficient in asymmetrical conflicts like those in Lebanon or Afghanistan.
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A new type of rock-cut works: the apiaries

Roberto Bixio¹, Andrea De Pascale¹, ²

Abstract

Since many decades, the Centro Studi Sotterranei (Genoa, Italy) is conducting wide range investigations on artificial cavities. The objective is to identify and document the ancient underground structures that have historical or architectural significance built or excavated by man, studying their origin, evolution and purpose of utilization. Over the years, a large number of rock settlements has been explored, widely distributed on the Mediterranean Basin, from Italy to the Far East, and chronologically diversified as regards types: passage, hydric, military, mining, worship works and, of course, residential works and relating infrastructures. Among the latter, researches are in progress about a particular type, the rupestrian apiaries, currently identified in three Mediterranean countries: central Turkey (Cappadocia), the island of Malta, and central-southern Italy. Waiting to extend in the near future investigations also to other areas, we propose here some considerations and comparisons between the structures so far documented.

Key words: apiaries, classification, Malta, Turkey, Italy.

Un nuovo tipo di struttura rupestre: gli apiari

Da molti decenni il Centro Studi Sotterranei di Genova sta conducendo indagini ad ampio raggio sulle cavità artificiali. L’obiettivo è quello di individuare e documentare le antiche strutture scavate o costruite dall’uomo nel sottosuolo che abbiano valenza storica o architettonica, studiandone origine, evoluzione e destinazione d’uso. Nel corso degli anni è stato esplorato un numero rilevante di insediamenti rupestri, ampiamente distribuiti sul territorio (dall’Italia all’Estremo Oriente), differenziati cronologicamente e diversificati nella tipologia: opere di transito, idriche, belliche, minerarie, di culto e, ovviamente, opere residenziali e relative infrastrutture. Tra queste ultime sono in corso ricerche su una particolare tipologia, gli apiari rupestri, attualmente individuati in tre paesi del bacino mediterraneo: la Turchia centrale (Cappadocia), l’isola di Malta, e l’Italia centro-meridionale. In attesa di estendere le indagini anche ad altre aree, si espongono in questa sede alcune considerazioni e confronti tra le strutture sino ad ora documentate, avanzando una proposta di classificazione tipologica per queste particolari opere destinate alla raccolta del miele e al controllo della sua produzione.

La raccolta del miele da parte dell’uomo è nota e documentata a partire dalla preistoria tra diverse popolazioni e culture in tutto il mondo, sia a scopo alimentare, sia per le sue proprietà curative. Da allora l’apicoltura ha conosciuto una lunga, continua e diffusa evoluzione che da sistemi di allevamento individuali condotti in semplici tronchi cavi, peraltro, in qualche caso, ancora oggi utilizzati, si è organizzata in sistemi compositi denominati apiari.

Si definisce apiario un insieme di alveari organizzato per l’allevamento delle api al fine della produzione del miele e di altri derivati (cera, propoli, pappa reale, polline). L’alveare è, a sua volta, composto da un contenitore (arnia) nel quale una famiglia di api (colonia o sciame) costruisce con la cera il proprio nido (favo) e produce il miele per nutrire le larve che nascono dalle uova deposte dalla regina.

Nell’antichità, in alcune aree è attestata la collocazione degli alveari all’interno di alloggiamenti appositi (housing apiaries, che gli apicoltori chiamano anche “apiari collettivi”), costruiti in muratura o scavati nella roccia per contenere arnie di tipo più elementare (arnie villiche, costituite da cassette, cesti, cilindri fitili o altre forme) e fornire un riparo dagli agenti atmosferici.

Nelle tavole qui presentate sono riportati vari tipi di apiari rupestri, rappresentati in modo schematico, sintetizzando le caratteristiche delle strutture apistiche finora documentate. Il risultato, per il momento, è stato quello di identificare tre categorie generali di apiari rupestri, gli ‘apiari a parete’ (categoria a), gli ‘apiari a camera aperta’ (categoria b) e gli ‘apiari a camera chiusa’ (categoria c), a loro volta suddivisi in più tipi. Va però tenuto presente
Apiaries

The collection of honey by humans, as well as the control of its production, is well known and documented since prehistoric times among different populations and cultures around the world (Crane, 1999), both for food purpose and for its healing properties (Jones, 2009). A painting found at Çatalhöyük (near Konya, central Turkey), attributed to the late Neolithic, seems to suggest the transition from a simple collection phase to that of a first attempt of domestication, or at least, to control the bees (Bortolin, 2008). Since then, the beekeeping knows a long, continuous and widespread evolution, from individual breeding systems handled inside simple hollow logs (in some cases, still used today), to organization in composite systems called apiaries.

We define apiary a set of beehives organised for the breeding of bees in order to produce honey and other by-products (wax, propolis, royal jelly, pollen). The beehive, in turn, consists of a container (hive) in which a bee family (colony or swarm) builds with wax its nest (honeycomb) and produce honey to feed the larvae that are born from eggs laid by the queen.

Apiaries may simply be groups of single beehives placed outdoors (open air apiaries) in localized plots of land, as it mainly happens since the middle 1800 following the invention of so-called rational hives used in modern beekeeping (Zaffi Recordati, 1980).

In ancient times, in some areas it is attested the placement of hives within specially prepared housings (housing apiaries, that beekeepers call “collective apiaries”, too), built in masonry or excavated into the rock to contain the simplest type of hives (villager hives, consisting of boxes, baskets, fictile cylinders or other forms) and provide a shelter from the atmospheric agents. Sometimes, for example in Portugal, the beehives were placed inside imposing structures built with high dry stone walls, usually circular or semicircular, to protect them from attacks by the animals (Guedes et al., 2002).

It has also to be added that between Tenda and Briga, and as far as Realdo (France-Italy), there are ‘more than 90 massive stone fences, with a characteristic and distinctive “horseshoe” shape, that were real “sanctuaries” where from 50 to 100 “beehives” were kept, with a summer population that could vary from 1 to 3 million of bees for each enclosure’ (Masetti, 1996, p. 139).

In this article we will consider, according to the general research objectives of Centro Studi Sotterranei, only rock structures, excavated with various techniques in natural rock walls (rupestrian apiaries), thus excluding the masonry apiaries.

Rupestrian apiaries in Cappadocia (Central Turkey)

The apiaries identified in Cappadocia, in the area between Urgup, Uchisar, Goreme, Ortahisar and Cavusin (district of Nevsehir), and in the valleys of Ifhara (district of Aksaray) and Soгanh (district of Kayseri) are more than 50, catalogued by Gaby Roussel in 2006 and 2007. From the description (Roussel, 2006, 2008), it appears that each of them, despite having its own peculiarities, has similar general features (apiaries with room fully excavated into the rock), with the two structures documented by Centro Studi Sotterranei in 2001 and 2003 (Bixio et al., 2004, 2009; Bixio & De Pascale, 2009, 2011).

Apiary A2, called Niketas

This structure is located at the head of the valley called Kizil Çukur (Red Hollow), right tributary of the Meskendir-Zindanomu basin. It owes its name to the famous hermitage of Niketas the Stylite. His cell is attached to the Uzumlu Kilise, or Church of grapes, both excavated into the rock. Although the names of the ascetic and the donor, a certain Eustrate, army commander, are shown in an inscription, dating is controversial, probably between the sixth and ninth centuries (Jolivet-Lévy, 2001). Other spaces, called saraphane, that means ‘cellar’,
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are excavated inside the body of an adjacent pinnacle. The Turkish toponyms suggest, therefore, agricultural activities, perhaps in direct continuation with those of the Byzantine monastic settlement, consisting of vines and apricot trees cultivation. Such activities could also include the production of honey inside the apiary excavated above the cellar. The operating room of the apiary (Fig. 1), otherwise invisible, is identified by a small door carved high in the rock wall of the pinnacle above the entrance of the cellar, flanked by vertical rows of small holes and slits. It does not communicate with the spaces below, but it is accessible from the outside only by a rudimentary mobile ladder kept by the owner of a nearby closet (Fig. 2). The space is roughly a rectangular parallelepiped, with a narrowing on the side opposite the entrance. It has a flat ceiling, two metres high on average.
In the thickness of the rock curtain overlooking the outside eight high and narrow vertical compartments have been carved out, about 30 cm wide, and separated by 10-cm diaphragms (40 cm between compartments 6 and 7; Fig. 3).

**Cell-hives, fixed**

The first compartment is excavated in a simple way, has neither subdivisions nor holes, and the ceiling is curved. The second and the third are each divided into seven cells by means of six shelves. Each shelf is made of mobile tufa slabs (at least three), inserted through horizontal grooves (runners) chiselled on the side walls of the diaphragms. The slabs are locked into the grooves by means of a sort of plaster. Because of the limited thickness of the vertical diaphragms, the grooves on the adjacent faces are staggered to avoid excessive weakening of the rock divisors (Fig. 3). The end-wall of each cell is pierced by a small perfectly circular hole, 2.5 cm in diameter, communicating with the outside. From here (flight hole) the bees entered and build their honeycombs directly on the rock, without intermediation of additional containers. Thus, each cell corresponds to a hive. The back mouths of each hive, toward the inside of the operating room, were sealed with a wooden door that was opened only for inspection and the extraction of honey. This system allows optimum operating conditions. Currently, only one of 14 cell-hives is still in use.

**Basket-hives, movable**

This lucky circumstance was crucial for the preservation of those mobile elements (disappeared in the completely abandoned hives) that allow, even if no more used, to perceive the function of the other five compartments. These elements consist of tubular hives formed by cylinders, open at both ends, about 70 cm long and 30 cm in diameter. Each cylinder is made with interlaced branches, like a basket, and then covered with a sealant layer of tezek, that is sun-dried excrement, which is still used today in the nearby villages as fuel for domestic use. Also the circular lid, closing the back mouth of the basket, is constructed in the same way (Fig. 4). Today, the basket-hives lie piled at the bottom of the operating room, and are not used at all. It has to be...
noted that under the heap at least three wooden boxes can be glimpsed. They are rectangular, long and narrow, of the same size of the compartments, and could represent a further evolution of basket-hives. These containers, tubular or box-shaped, placed in horizontal position, could be stacked in the compartments without the need for shelves supporting them. Indeed, compartments 6, 7 and 8 are without tufa slabs and the related horizontal grooves (Fig. 5). The grooves are instead present on the two walls of the large central space that, however, is without shelves. It is evident that this space corresponds to the joining of two adjacent compartments, 4 and 5, obtained by the removal of the partition-diaphragm and its horizontal slabs. Originally, these two compartments were clearly structured for the cell-hives use. It is thus clear that this space is the conversion element from a fixed hives use to that of movable hives.

**Slits**

The front mouth of the basket-hives was placed to coincide with the flight holes. Those holes, in compartment no. 6, are still perfectly round. In the other four compartments there is a gradual change, first with larger and squared holes in compartment 7, up to real slits, vertically elongated, in the other three compartments. The profiles of the slits are irregular and broken at several places, as though derived from the progressive and accidental union of the holes. It is likely that the junction initially occurred due to natural erosion preferentially developing along the axis of the discontinuity represented by the flight holes, and then intentionally. It is sure that the slits of Kızıl Çukur apiary A1, that will be discussed later, and those of Göreme have been intentionally and skilfully made.

For completeness we point out that the ancient use of hives with a cylindrical shape, made of different materials (fired clay, tuff or ferula barrel), and arranged in horizontal position, is attested with appropriate differences in various parts of the Mediterranean Basin: for instance, in Sicily (Zappi Recordati, 1980) or in Egypt (Chrone, 2001). The use of tubular hives (fired clay) has been widely analysed in the rupestrian apiaries investigated in the island of Malta (Bixio et al., 2002c). However the use of basket-hives and flight holes in tall and narrow slit shape, at the present state of our knowledge, it seems a prerogative of Cappadocia only. Further, the use of hives obtained directly into the rock (cell-hives), without intermediate containers, does not appear performed elsewhere.

**Hypotheses on the double system of breeding**

As already said, the basket-hives, though well preserved, are no more used today. To tell the truth, the whole beekeeping system through rupestrian apiaries, seems by now to have fallen into complete disuse in the whole region. The exception is the case of Niketas where, however, only one beehive (a cell-type) of the whole 48 of the apiary is still used by the owner. It seems clear that in the same rupestrian apiary structure two different and concomitant breeding systems co-existed: one worked through cell-hives which, because of their intrinsic characteristics, were fixed in the operating room and closely associated with circular small flight holes; the second, on the other hand, operated through mobile basket-hives, that used both circular flight holes and larger square openings or vertical slits.

The question naturally arises about the reasons that may have led the ancient beekeepers to adopt a double system of hives in the same rupestrian structure. Lacking any reliable source, it may be assumed that it was functional to the choice of diversification of the breeding techniques, obviously aimed at optimizing the honey production. The cell-beehives, fixed for their nature, could not be used otherwise than to practice sedentary breeding, thus exclusively linked to the flowering area surrounding the place where the apiary had been excavated. At the same time the movable basket-hives could be used in parallel with the fixed ones, also allowing to exercise the so-called nomadic (or transhumant) breeding which consists of systematically moving bees in an area with asynchronous flowering, and then during the cooler seasons bring back in the sheltered rupestrian apiaries of origin, joining them with sedentary hives.

**Diachronic considerations**

There are evidences to believe that the system with basket-hives was introduced later than the use of cell-hives (Demenge, 1995). It is indeed more likely to think that nomadic breeding, characterized by the moving of hives, represents an evolution of the sedentary one, and not vice versa. In fact, once you learn the basket-making technique and notice the increased productivity of nomadic breeding, it would have been illogical and counterproductive to convert a more versatile system (movable hives) in a less flexible (fixed hives). Even if deciding to create a sedentary breeding, however, it would had been more useful to have available movable hives as immediately convertible, if necessary, to noma-
dic breeding, rather than the opposite.
As concerns chronology of the two systems it is crucial the evidence provided by the transformation undergone by the flight holes, which allows to attempt an interpretation of the sequence of evolutionary phases of the apiary, as follows (see Fig. 1 for references).
Phase 1: excavation of the operating room.
Phase 2: excavation of compartments 2, 3, 4 and 5 inside the operating room.
Phase 3: creation of cell-hives through the realization, in each compartment, of (circular) flight holes, horizontal grooves, and lodging of related slabs (see in particular compartments 2 and 3). Sedentary beekeeping.
Phase 4: extension of the operating room. Use of basket-hives and beginning of nomadic beekeeping. New compartments are excavated always with circular flight holes, but without slabs and grooves, as the division shelves are no longer needed (see compartment 6).
Phase 5: in the meantime, the circular flight holes of compartments 4 and 5, perhaps because most exposed to the atmospheric agents or due to a lower thickness of rock, degrade because of the erosion at the outer surface of the pinnacle. The holes become larger and take on a more irregular shape. Probably also the partition between the two compartments deteriorates making them unsuitable for the cell-hives which are replaced with basket-hives.
Phase 6: it was noticed that larger flight holes are more functional for the new type of basket-hives, facilitating the exchange of hives, by then become movable, and improving the flow of bees. So the beekeeper intentionally widens the flight holes of compartment 7 with square mouths.
Phase 7: erosion of the holes continues over time. The holes gradually join along the vertical axis to create long and narrow slits (see compartments 4, 5 and 8). This new arrangement is even more functional for the management of the basket-hives because in the nearby Kızıl Çukur apiary (as well as in other apiaries) the slits are no longer produced by the fortuitous and, in any case, slow action of the atmospheric agents, but are made on purpose, and carved with great care.
It has to be noted that, in chronological order, compartment 1 should be the last made with the aim to further expand the apiary, but suspended during the process of completion. It has neither grooves or flight holes. The curvature of the rock in the upper part is in some ways indicative of the gesture with which it was dealt the blow of the digging tool.

Apiary A1, called Kızıl Çukur
Another structure, located about 750 metres downstream of apiary A2, is in general similar to it, but made with greater accuracy (Bixio et al., 2002a, b). The operating room is divided in two parts (Figs. 6-7): on one side, four compartments with cell-hives are present (Fig. 8), quite identical to those inside the apiary of Niketas. On the other side four slits are excavated.
with great accuracy: perfectly vertical and parallel, unbroken in height, even in width and thickness. Also the exterior façade has been regularized (Fig. 9). All of these appear as carefully designed and executed devices. Here, the basket-hives had to be placed, but no evidence of them is left.

As concerns the apiary of Kızıl Çukur, it is therefore possible to assume that while the section where the cell-hives are placed might be more or less coeval with that of the structure located further upstream (Niketas), and in any case preceding the introduction of nomadic beekeeping, the system with slits had to be added at a later time. Further, it is also likely that it was following the introduction of basket-hives in the apiary of Niketas where, as we have seen, the slits are more irregular and discontinuous, beside coexisting with intermediate forms such as circular or square flight holes.

We consider the apiary of Niketas as the prototype in which the most archaic phases of the technology necessary to practise nomadic beekeeping have been developed, before being extended to other nearby apiaries. In fact, even if dating is controversial, the nearby rock hermitage of Niketas seems to be the oldest monastic settlement in the valley. However, we stress that the actual relationship between the beekeeping structure
and the cell of the Byzantine monk has still to be ascer-
tained; the same is true for that between the apiary of
Kızıl Çukur and the nearby underground church of
Columns.

**Industrial and home beehives**

Nevertheless, even with some doubts, both hives seem
to be directly related with the monastic settlements.
Whether cenobitic or hermitic, the relative sizes of
apiaries were still considerable. With a simple calcula-
tion, there are 40 hives in apiary A1 and 48 in A2. The
number suggests, therefore, a production that was to
go beyond the mere personal or family consumption to
meet size that could be called industrial. The produc-
tion of the two structures in question, therefore, does
suggest a possible source of commerce (not unusual
even today).

The industrial nature of some apiaries is highlighted
by the identification of other apiaries that we can con-
sider for home use. For example, the apiary near the in-
tercepting channel called *Maggiociondolo* (*Laburnum*),
in the upper part of the Meskendir valley, is located
inside a rock room, and not solely dedicated to breeding
bees. It occupies a very limited space inside the room: a
square niche divided by a cross-like arranged partition
in order to obtain four cell-hives, enough to meet the
needs of one family that, in this case, breed the swarms
in the same place where it lives.

**Rupestrian apiaries in Malta**

In 2002, together with Raffaele Cirone (Italian
Federation of Beekeepers of Rome) an investigation
was conducted in Malta to document some ancient ru-
pestrian apiaries (Bixio et al., 2002c).

**The island of Melita**

The Romans, at the time of their occupation, called
the island of Malta ‘Melita’, a name evidently derived
from the Latin *mel*, meaning honey. Several localities
are still today identified with toponyms that recall pro-
ducts such as honey and wax, so valuable to be used,
together with the salt, as exchanging coin. The site of
Imgiebah, near the town of Xemxija, in the northern
part of the island, has a more specific designation, al-
so reported by ancient maps: his translation from the
“Malti” (a Semitic language) means ‘apiary’. As every-
body knows, the whole island of Malta, and not only the locality of Imgiebah, contains archaeolo-
gical remains of considerable importance and antiquity
through the millennia. People coming from Sicily lived,
around 5200 BC, inside the simple rock shelters that
dot the limestone cliffs of the island. Between 4100
and 2500 BC an extraordinary megalithic architecture
developed: many temples were built and the suggesti-
ve underground site of Hal Saflieni, where the cult of
Mother Earth is attested, was excavated. Around 1000
BC, it was frequented by Phoenicians and Carthaginians
that increased trade and agriculture. From 218 BC the
island fell under the influence of the Roman Empire.
The breeding of bees quickly became a significant eco-

onomic activity and the excellent honey produced on the
island became one of the most famous products, to be
praised even by Cicero.

Coming back to our main subject, the ancient produc-
tion of honey is not only cited in literature, but it is
also tangibly demonstrated by material findings. In
fact, the ancient rupestrian structures, recently redis-
covered in Imgiebah and exploited by the locals, relate
precisely to beekeeping, still practiced today in Malta
with great profit.

**Stone houses for bees**

The site of Imgiebah lies on the hill overlooking the
bay of St. Paul, behind the last houses of Xemxija. The
barren slopes are characterized by limestone scarps
where shallow caves open and by terraces bordered
with extensive dry-stone walls. The surrounding area
is rich in ancient remains. The road climbing toward
the summit, partly carved into the rock, is attributed
to the Roman period, as the remains of a ‘villa’, with a
Punic tomb identified nearby.

At a sharp bend in the road, tens of mouths (niches),
opening on a rock wall overlooking an esplanade, iden-
tify one of the apiaries of Imgiebah. Instead of being
made up of the usual movable wooden boxes, it is en-
tirely realized into the stone with a not ordinary tech-
nique. Actually the apiaries are three, located on con-
tiguous and overlapping terraces, partly hidden by a
giant millennial carob tree.

The lower one, more exposed to view, extends along a
front of twelve meters. It consists of a wall about three
metres high, with exposed stones, carefully restored in
recent times with mortar. It has three rows of overlap-
ning niches, for a total of forty-seven mouths of various
sizes. It is divided into two sectors that are accessed
through two low small doors placed in the centre (poin-
t 1 and 2 of the plan in Fig. 10).

After entering the inner rooms we realize that the
structure consists of a natural cave, against which a
composite masonry structure was put in place. The
cave, or to better say the rock shelter, irregularly extends
along the whole length of the front, with a depth of
about 1-2 metres (Fig. 11): a kind of room, long and
narrow, sheltered by a roof of living rock, jutting out,
and closed at both ends by walls. Its genesis is related
to natural erosion of the limestone, without human in-
tervention. Localized excavations, to obtain the space
for bench and small shelves to lay oil lamps and tools
suitable for the apiary management, are visible today.
The masonry is more significant, consisting of ashlar-
s of various sizes, that is rock nearby quarried and purpo-
sely squared. It consists of *globigerina* limestone, still
used in building industry, very soft to cut, which then
hardens on air exposure. The rock shelter was thus
enclosed by a lengthwise wall, advanced a few metres
with respect to the natural room. The two spaces thus
obtained (operating rooms) are in turn divided into pa-
allel compartments by orthogonal partitions formed
by rows of ashlar and covered with masonry supported
by opposing (*Cappuccina* covering) or horizontal (*lin-
tel*) slabs, arranged between the partitions (Fig. 12).

In some ashlers of the outer wall, niches have been
carved, arranged in three, locally four, superimposed horizontal rows starting from the ground surface. The openings, except for some rectangular, have the appearance of oven mouths with the upper profile like a flat arch (Fig. 13). They penetrate the limestone ashlar for about thirty centimetres forming a shelf that could serve as a perch for the bees coming from the outside. On the wall closing the bottom of each compartment two squared holes (flight holes) were carved, piercing the diaphragm of stone. The inside is covered by a second wall, leaning against the first, of rougher dry stones, arranged to reduce the span of holes to prevent that the hives placed on the other side could be removed from the outside.

The hives are made of cylinders of fired clay, open at one end and closed on the side of the short narrowing that forms the stumpy neck (Fig. 14). Five small holes are here present to allow the entrance of bees (flight counter-holes). The tubular hives, made of fired clay with a system still in use by beekeepers in North Africa, were horizontally placed in the compartments defined by internal partition walls, in superimposed rows resting on movable horizontal stone shelves, supported by vertical side slabs and locked with clay. Each row could contain, depending on the number of outer holes, two or more hives side by side. The open side of the cylinder (back mouth of the hive) was facing the interior (operating room). It was closed with a wood stopper and sealed with propolis (by bees) and wax (by
The honeycomb cover tablet (stopper) was removed during the honey collection. Technical operations (inspections, fumigation, honey collection) took place in the operating room with all comfort for the beekeeper (and for the bees).

The scheme just described is essentially the same in the other two hives, although some structural changes have been detected. For instance, the upper apiary, which appears more archaic, consists of a single room housed in a much larger rock shelter (more than three meters wide), entirely covered by the overhanging roof of living stone. For this reason there are no orthogonal partitions, or supporting slabs for the natural cover, but only the longitudinal closure wall. Here, the hives were resting on shelves obtained in the wall itself, in arched niches, instead of movables slabs. The openings in the outer ashlars are rectangular rather than oven-like mouth, but always with two flight holes each. Inside the middle apiary there are not mouths, but the flight holes are obtained directly in the ashlars of the drywall, at the horizontal joints.

**Proposal for a Typological Classification of the Rupestrian Apiaries**

Schematic representations of several types of rupestrian apiaries are shown in figures 15 and 16, combining and summarizing the characteristics of the beekeeping structures documented in Cappadocia and
Fig. 15 - Plate 1. Categories and types of rupestrian apiaries (drawing R. Bixio).

Fig. 15 - Tavola 1. Categorie e tipologie degli apiari rupestri (grafica R. Bixio).
Fig. 16 - Plate 2. Categories and types of rupestrian apiaries (drawing R. Bixio).
Fig. 16 - Tavola 2. Categorie e tipologie degli apiari rupestri (grafica R. Bixio).
Malta, described above, with those existing in the territory of central-southern Italian regions (Latium, Sicily and, especially, Apulia, with particular reference to the evidence gathered by Greco, 2001). The purpose of our attempt of synthesis is to identify general patterns in order to determine the types that may be used and integrated with likely new varieties that might be found in future investigations. So far, three general categories of rupestrian apriaries have been identified: the wall apriaries (category a), the open chamber apriaries (category b), and the enclosed chamber apriaries (category c); each of them is, in turn, split into several types. It has, however, to be reminded that every apiary has its own peculiarities, often associated with masonry.

**Rock wall apiaries (category a)**

Rock wall apriaries are those structures in which the housing for the hives was obtained by excavating a more or less vertical rock cliff. This cliff may be natural or obtained by rectifying the roughness of the rock. According to the shape of the structure, the wall apriaries are classified in 4 types.

**Shelves apiary (type a.1)**

It is the simplest housing type obtained by excavating in the rock a horizontal parallelepiped, with one of the long sides opening toward the outside. In this sort of shelf the hives are located side by side. The apiary can be formed by a single shelf, or by more shelves superimposed and/or staggered.

Examples in the following localities: Madonna della Scala and Masseria S. Angelo (Massafra), Pian Castagno (Soriano nel Cimino, Viterbo province, Latium), Quarry of Estel (Vers, Gard, France).

**Cells apiary (type a.2)**

A little more skill is required to realize an apiary with cells. These are obtained from excavation of single and/or overlapping parallelepipeds, similar to stacked boxes, separated by thin curtains obtained by saving the rock from excavation. Since it is clear that producing a continuous shelf (a.1 type), it would be easier and faster than digging the same volume divided into several units, we assumed that housing in cells perhaps had the advantage of ensuring a greater thermal inertia to beehives.

Examples in the following locality in the province of Taranto (Apulia): Crispiano.

**Niches apiary (type a.3)**

We will call ‘niches’ the parallelepipeds carved out vertically, and shallow. Those in the province of Viterbo have height between 76 and 84 cm, width between 37 and 51 cm, and are deep between 38 and 43 cm (Boerlin, 2008). The rupestrian apiary with niches is made up of individual cavities, excavated side by side on vertical natural rock walls. In each one, a single hive is vertically housed.

In France there are examples of apriaries with niches obtained on regularized surfaces of old abandoned stone quarries. The apiary at Ver dates back to 1793. From the description, the niche itself, closed by a small door, provided with flight holes and hinged on the rocky fra-

**Compartments and cells apiary (type a.4)**

This is a more complex structure of the previous ones: it consists of compartments, i.e. large vertical niches, adjacent to each other, separated by rock diaphragms obtained by saving the rock from excavation of a short antechamber slightly behind the vertical of the outer wall of the cliff. The diaphragms are also provided with parallel grooves (runners), carved on both sides, so that horizontal shelves can be inserted to divide into multiple overlapped cells each compartment for the housing of the hives.

This type of installation, as well as representing a combination and evolution of types a.2 and a.3, can be considered a form of transition from rock wall apiaries to those with open chamber (type b.2), with some similarities to those with integral enclosed chamber (type c.2).

Examples in locality Masseria S. Angelo (Massafra), in the province of Taranto (Apulia).

**Open chamber rock apiaries (category b)**

These are installations in which the housing for the hives are no more obtained in the external walls of the cliffs, but placed inside an underground void in the rock mass, with the side walls and its own rock coverage that define the upper part of the apiary, high at least as a man, or more. This space can consist of a pre-existing natural cavity, or may have been purposefully excavated, in part or totally, by the beekeeper obtaining a service area for the management of the bees.

We define as ‘open chamber’ a cavity which entrance does not have any closure. Thus, it is completely open and the room is merely an additional shelter for the hives that are housed on various types of supports placed inside, leaning against the back or the sidewalk. Open chamber apiaries can be distinguished into two types:

**Simple support apiary (type b.1)**

The hives are simply laid, if necessary on superimposed rows, on the floor of the cavity, isolated by a simple planking or raised off the ground by a dry stone wall, without any additional infrastructure.

Examples in the following localities: Madonna della Scala and Masseria S. Angelo (Massafra, Taranto province, Apulia), S. Lania (Lentini, Catania province, Sicily) (F. Dell’Aquila, personal communication).

**Combined support apiary (type b.2)**

In this case, on the back wall of the cavity, or even on
the sidewalls, some houses for hives have been excavated. Very similar to those described above as rock wall apiaries, they are usually horizontal shelves for hives. Examples in the following localities in the province of Taranto (Apulia): Masseria Torretta (Massafra), and Masseria Vicentino (Grottaglie).

A special case is described as type a.4 at Masseria S. Angelo (Massafra, Taranto province, Apulia), consisting of a shallow antechamber and more complex compartments and cells. As already mentioned, it can be considered a form of transition.

Enclosed chamber rock apiaries (category c)
In this case the cavities housing the hives are closed on all sides, with the entrance to the chamber allowed by a door. We decided to distinguish these apiaries in a specific category, rather than to aggregate them with the 'open chamber' in a generic category of 'chamber apiaries' tout court because this type of structure requires a substantial change in the management of the beehives. In fact, the hives are no longer leaning against the walls of the cavity, but are inserted in the (masonry or rock) curtain wall closing the external front of the chamber (operating room). In this way the access is granted directly to the rear part of the hives without having to remove them from their housing, unlike to what happens in the wall or open chamber apiaries described above. Enclosed chamber apiaries are described on the model of those documented in the island of Malta and in Cappadocia (see § “Rupestrian apiaries in Cappadocia, Central Turkey” and “Rupestrian apiaries in Malta”).

Walled up chamber apiary (type c.1)
This is the case of the apiaries documented in Malta, in the site called Imgiebah (see § “Rupestrian apiaries in Malta”). Several apiaries are there present, variously structured, and essentially consisting of small natural rock shelters that have been closed on the outer side by dry-stone walls, obtaining one or more rooms (operating rooms), with access through a small door. Some of the exterior ashlars were carved in order to obtain small niches (to be used as perches) and passing holes (flight holes). The hives were located in the back of the masonry curtain, matching the flight holes, supported by horizontal shelves inside vertical compartments divided by partitions, or by oven-like mouth cells, purposely built with dry stones. The back mouth of the hive, enclosed by a wooden lid, was thus accessible for the beekeeper, thus allowing him to proceed to normal operations without removing the container (tubular fictile beehives), minimizing the nuisance to the bees.

Integral chamber apiary (type c.2)
In the valleys of Cappadocia, in central Turkey, more than fifty apiaries were identified (see § “Rupestrian apiaries in Cappadocia”), conceptually equivalent to those at Malta. The main difference lies in the fact that the Cappadocian structures do not exploit existing natural cavities, but are fully obtained by the excavation of the tufa walls on cliffs and pinnacles, also closed on the external side by a natural wall of rock, internally divided by vertical compartments, obtained by saving the rock from excavation. From the outside they are recognizable by a small door obtained into the wall, usually a few meters off the ground, lined with rows of holes (flight holes) and, in several cases, by vertical slits. These devices represent a peculiarity of Cappadocia: they have the same function as flight holes, but were destined for a different type of hive. In fact we have found that, inside the operating room, while fixed hives formed by slabs placed in horizontal grooves (runners) carved on the sides of the diaphragm and closed by wooden lids (cell hives) correspond to circular holes, superimposed rows of movable tubular hives, made of interlaced branches (basket hives), or box-shaped, were instead leaning against the slits.

Further, it would be appropriate to investigate more thoroughly some of the apiaries called by Masetti ruches-placards, that is wall cupboard-hives, made in the rock wall of an abandoned quarry - already mentioned in the § “Niches apiary (type a.3)” - which, from the short description, seem quite similar to the Cappadocian. In the quarry an inner chamber would be excavated, while the cells for the hives would be obtained in the thickness of the rock curtain. The hives would have the entrance for bees (flight holes) on the outside, and the taking mouth on the opposite side, inside the chamber (Masetti, 2000).

Discussion on rupestrian apiaries
From the comparison of the brief descriptions above we can proceed to some considerations. First, it is clear that in the rupestrian beekeeping panorama there was not a unique way to house the hives but rather a wide assortment of types, ranging from the most basic structures (shelves apiaries of Apulia) to the most evolved (integral chamber apiaries of Cappadocia), has to be observed.

As already pointed out, the greater difference was found between the rupestrian apiaries in southern Italy, on one hand, and those at Malta and Cappadocia, on the other. In the case of Apulia, Latium and Sicily, in all types of considered housing, wall or chamber, the hives were always arranged with the back mouth against the rock wall. Bearing in mind that the operations related to periodic inspections and honeycombs taking were normally carried out through the opening of the end opposite to the flight holes and, sometimes, through the upper side (G. Pavanello, personal communication), it is clear that the hives had to be removed every time from their support, with considerable disturbance to the colonies of bees. Thus, the main advantage of the enclosed chamber apiaries (type c), that we found only in Malta (walled up chamber) and Cappadocia (integral chamber), derives from the fact that the hives were accessible directly from the back mouth, without any need to remove them from their housing, also avoiding that the beekeeper interposes himself before the flight holes, minimizing any variation in the routine of the beehives.
We report - even if not exactly rupestrian - the existence of enclosed chamber beehives in the territory of Portodemouros, Portugal, locally called *alacenas*, obtained inside the walls of farmhouses. These structures, that conceptually have the same functioning and organization of those rupestrian in Malta and Cappadocia, had the advantage to protect the beehives from the cold and water. They were usually located in the wall of the main rooms so that the hives could use the heat of the stables that as a rule were below the main room [...] The *alacenas* were arranged so that the hole, or entrance of the beehive, would be toward the outside, facing south to receive maximum sunlight. The body of the beehive remained enclosed in the wall and the honeycombs were accessible through a little wooden door from inside the room. Sometimes the door would not completely close so the bees could enter the room (Guedes et al., 2000; editor’s translation).

A masonry enclosed chamber apiary, a real bee house, is described by *Cirone* at Zeitun, in the island of Malta. It is a two-storey building, with housing for the beehives in the lower part and the laboratory for the extraction of honey from the honeycomb in the upper part (Cirone, 2001). It is conceptually identical to the walled up chamber apiary of Imgiebah and to the Portuguese *alacenas*, with the flight holes on the outside and the back mouth for the gathering manoeuvrable from inside the room, without removing the hives.

This system was already described by *Olivier de Serre* in *Theatre d’agriculture et mesnage des champs*, published in 1600 (Masetti, 2000).

Going back to rupestrian apiaries, other differences between the various types concern the structural aspects. In particular, chamber apiaries (types b and c) allow the operations of hives management also with bad weather. Moreover they could be used as a shelter for equipment, avoiding the construction of a building, that is needed, on the other hand, for the wall apiaries. Even the walled up or integral chambers (type c) were much easier to build that any masonry structure. In addition, being equipped with doors, they provided greater protection against theft not only for the equipment, but also for the beehive themselves. It has to be noted that the integral chamber apiaries of Cappadocia are, for the most part, placed on overhanging walls of cliffs, at heights difficult to reach without a ladder. Finally, in the particular case of the Cappadocian apiaries, we must register at least two specific differences with those Italian and at Malta.

The first is the fact that, at least in the two apiaries documented in detail (and described in § “Rupestrian apiaries in Cappadocia, Central Turkey”) the cells obtained in vertical compartments through the insertion of horizontal slabs in the grooves, worked directly as hives, without the need to add further containers, thus avoiding the construction of a double structure.

---

**APIARI - APIARIES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A CIELO APERTO</th>
<th>Open air apiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in spazi liberi (in open spaces)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in recinti (in enclosures)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN MURATURA</th>
<th>Masonry apiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| IN ALLOGGIO | Housing apiaries or collective apiaries |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUPESTRI</th>
<th>Rupestrian apiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) A PARETE</th>
<th>Rock-wall apiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a.1) a mensola</th>
<th>Shelves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.2) a celle</td>
<td>Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.3) a nicchie</td>
<td>Niches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.4) a scomparti</td>
<td>Compartments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) A CAMERA APERTA</th>
<th>Open chamber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b.1) a supporto semplice</th>
<th>Simple support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b.2) a supporto combinato</td>
<td>Combined support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) A CAMERA CHIUSA</th>
<th>Enclosed chamber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c.1) a camera murata</th>
<th>Walled-up chamber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.2) a camera integrale</td>
<td>Integral chamber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This arrangement obviously had the disadvantage that the hives were not removable and, therefore, suitable only for sedentary beekeeping. It is our opinion that this handicap was overcome by the creation of compartments with vertical slits, which several Cappadocian apiaries have, associated with rows of flight holes, which were used to stack another type of hives, basket or box, movable, and, therefore, suitable for a possible form of nomadic beekeeping, maybe exercised simultaneously with the sedentary one. However, it is also possible that the evolution from flight holes to slits, caused by natural erosion of the rock, has prompted the creation of movable containers (hives), to replace the fixed, coincident with the cells and become unusable, thus avoiding to leave the old apiary and to excavate a new one. This system would have then been extended throughout the region even during the construction of new compartments in case of expansion of the apiary, having also the advantage of making unnecessary to carve the grooves and horizontal slabs.
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Subterranea Britannica: Underground Britain

Martin Dixon

Abstract

Man-made underground structures hold a special fascination for many historians, anthropologists and explorers. This article suggests some reasons for this fascination and goes on to describe many of the typologies of underground sites in Great Britain. These include spaces constructed for religion, transportation, extraction, defence and concealment. Examples of each site type are given, along with current-day photographs of the structures. Sites described date from the Neolithic to the Nuclear age.

Many stages of mankind’s development were only possible as a result of underground activity – whether this be the extraction of precious minerals/metals, the transportation of key resources or protection in times of war. Sites studied provide an important record and insight into these eras.

Some sites described are well-known worldwide - such as the London Underground which celebrates its 150th anniversary in 2013. A number of sites are opened to the public by arrangement with the site owners and enthusiasts; others lie largely forgotten and are only accessible to dedicated explorers.

The author, Martin Dixon, is Chairman of Subterranea Britannica: a UK based society which studies, visits and records man-made underground structures. Founded in 1974, Subterranea Britannica is a registered charity and has over 1,000 members. A guide to underground sites in the UK is available from www.subbrit.org.uk.

Key words: artificial cavities, Subterranea Britannica, Great Britain.

Riassunto

Le strutture sotterranee realizzate dall’uomo conservano un fascino particolare per molte categorie di studiosi, dagli storici, agli antropologi, sino ai semplici esploratori. Il presente articolo intende suggerire alcune delle motivazioni esistenti alla origine di tale fascino, e descrive brevemente alcune tra le tipologie di siti sotterranee esistenti in Gran Bretagna. Questi comprendono spazi realizzati per scopi religiosi, per trasporto, estrazione di materiali, a fini difensivi e come luoghi in cui nascondersi. Alcuni esempi per ciascuna tipologia sono illustrate, anche mediante fotografie attuali delle strutture. I siti trattati nell’articolo coprono un arco temporale che si estende dal Neolitico all’epoca nucleare.

Molte fasi nello sviluppo storico dell’evoluzione della umanità sono state possibili solo come risultato di attività svolte nel mondo sotterraneo – siano queste consistite nella estrazione dal sottosuolo di materie preziose (minerali o metalli), nel trasporto di risorse chiave o nella protezione in tempi di guerra. I siti studiati forniscono quindi elementi di prezioso interesse storico per la migliore comprensione delle epoche nel corso delle quali essi sono stati realizzati.

Alcuni tra i luoghi descritti sono di fama mondiale – ad esempio, la Metropolitana di Londra, che celebrerà il 150° anniversario nel 2013. Molti di essi sono aperti al pubblico, grazie alla disponibilità dei proprietari o di appassionati; altri, invece, sono dimenticati e accessibili soltanto agli esploratori che se ne interessano.


Parole chiave: cavità artificiali, Gran Bretagna, Subterranea Britannica.

1 Subterranea Britannica; e-mail: chairman@subbrit.org.uk
Introduction

Subterranea Britannica is a UK-based society which studies, visits and records all manner of man-made and man-used underground structures and spaces. Set up in 1974, Subterranea Britannica (or ‘Sub Brit’ for short) has over 1,100 members and is a registered charity. It seems somehow appropriate for an organisation with a Latin name to be featured in an Italian journal. Although our members and interests cover the whole world, this article focuses on examples of underground sites within Great Britain (Fig. 1). Some Subterranea Britannica members also include caving and pot-holing amongst their interests, but the majority of the membership approach underground study from a perspective of industrial or military archaeology. Thus in Britain, at least, the exploration of man-made structures is more allied to historical and social study than it is to speleology.

Underground Fascination

Before looking at specific sites, what is it that fascinates so many of us about underground space? When asked why he wanted to climb Everest, British mountaineer George Mallory famously answered ‘Because it’s there’. Perhaps the parallel in explaining why the underworld has a similar fascination for so many of us is to say ‘because it’s not there’! But the real reason for our inquisitiveness goes much deeper than this and has several strands.

Firstly, underground space has an important role in many religious beliefs. Prehistoric cave paintings have been dated back 40,000 years and provide our earliest record of mankind’s underground adventures. Whether for spiritual reasons or for concealment, underground space plays a part in many different religions. The Christian catacombs of Rome, Hermitages and Crypts, Hindu and Buddhist caves and Egyptian Tombs all play their part. Plus of course most religions consign the mortal remains of their dead to the earth.

Secondly, every significant step-change in mankind’s history has taken place by means of the exploitation of underground resources. The Stone Age relied on the mining of underground flint by our Neolithic ancestors; sites across Europe date back to 4,000 BC. The Bronze Age that followed also relied on underground ores (principally copper and tin) for the production of tools, weapons and jewellery. Moving from prehistory into the historical era, this trend continued with the Iron Age where the ores needed for smelting were within the earth’s crust. Finally the industrial revolution relied heavily on steam power – predominantly coal-fired – to drive the step change in manufacturing and transport.

Thirdly, military campaigns have used the protection of concealed space for both defensive and offensive purposes. Mining of city walls and castles was first recorded in the civilisations of ancient Rome, Greece and China; by the mediaeval period, mining and counter-mining techniques were well-developed. Mining and sapping reached their peak during the dreadful stalemate of World War I. World War II saw the construction of massive protected gun emplacements, most notably Hitler’s Atlantic Wall of mainland Europe. Civilian air-raid shelters also protected millions during the bombing campaigns of the 1940s. Later in the twentieth century,
control and communication were the key underground aspects of the mercifully unfought Cold War. Finally, modern society could not function without the services that run beneath its streets and fields. Urban mass transit has increasingly been forced underground and without it the daily flow of workers from the suburbs to the centre would be difficult to envisage. Our society relies on the provision of vast quantities of fresh water and the subsequent disposal of foul water; both hidden from the eyes of all but the privileged few. From the earliest canals to international links, tunnels have provided the means for man to cross mountains, rivers and seas.

Underground exploration and study allows us to glimpse how man’s presence on earth has grown and developed. Protected from the elements, many sites show a period in history far more comprehensively than their above-ground equivalents. Exploration of these hidden spaces can show the immense scale of construction as well as the detail of individual inscriptions and graffiti. This juxtaposition of monumental and microscopic provides unparalleled glimpses of our ancestors and their world.

**Echoes of Rome**

Britain was of course part of the Roman Empire from 43 AD to circa 410 AD but did not benefit from any large-scale subterranean construction. The aqueducts built elsewhere in the Empire were perhaps not needed due to the rather wetter climate of Britain then and now. Britain does have, however, some structures which provide at least an echo of Roman ancestry. A number of Catacombs exist throughout the country – mostly constructed in the early years of Queen Victoria’s reign – the late 1830s and 40s. At that time, cemeteries within growing cities were proving inadequate for the population and so new cemeteries were built outside the city centre. Some of these new cemeteries included underground or semi-sunken catacombs; Kensal Green and West Norwood in London have the best examples. Other UK cities with catacombs are Liverpool, Exeter and Nottingham.

Some other sites are popularly known as ‘catacombs’ but are using the word in the sense of an underground complex (for example Camden catacombs in north London were actually warehouses and horse stables). In a similar way, although only a small proportion of the former quarries beneath Paris are used as an ossuary, the whole complex is often misleadingly described as the Paris Catacombs. Both Kensal Green and West Norwood catacombs were built beneath surface chapels and both retain fine hydraulic catafalques or coffin lifts designed by the firm of Bramah and Robinson (Fig. 2). As mentioned earlier, water supply in general was less of a problem in Britain due to comparatively wet weather and numerous rivers and streams. A number of underground water supply systems were built in the mediaeval period, usually in lead pipe rather than culverted and typically for religious or royal buildings rather than the general population. The latter had to survive with wells dug adjacent to cess pits until comparatively modern times. A fine conduit system dating from the fourteenth century remains in Exeter in Devon and is opened on a regular basis by the local council who should be commended for celebrating rather than filling in an underground feature. Another network fed both the fountains and kitchens of Hampton Court Palace in Surrey. This network started from wellheads or conduit houses in Kingston upon Thames and fed the Royal Palace about three miles away (Fig. 3). The site can be visited on its regular but infrequent openings or by special arrangement. The networks at both Exeter and Hampton Court are classed as scheduled ancient monuments and enjoy Britain’s highest level of building protection.

Intriguing water supply tunnels were built at Coleshill, near Swindon in the eighteenth century to supply the large house there. Built on high ground for the views, the house had no natural water supply and so water tunnels were built to form horizontal wells, exploiting an impervious layer in the geology. Their excavation was an early use of gunpowder in UK tunnelling. The house itself was destroyed by fire in the 1950s (not helped by the lack of water supply!). The tunnels however remain and Subterranea Britannica recently surveyed them for the National Trust (the current landowner). Three hundred years after construction the tunnels are still supplying water to an underground reservoir and in fine shape (Fig. 4).

**Mine, all Mine**

There are few counties in Great Britain that have not been mined at some time for underground minerals, fuel or ores. The famous Grimes Graves flint mines in Norfolk mark the start of this exploitation which carries through to the present day. One of the most famous mining areas was the county of Cornwall in the far south west of England. Here granite and kaolin (china clay) have long been extracted from surface quarries...
and are still an important part of local industry. But it is for metalliferous underground mining that the county is best known.

From the Bronze Age to the last years of the twentieth century, Cornish tin has been world famous and mined in huge quantities alongside other metals including copper, arsenic, silver and zinc. Today no productive mines remain although South Crofty Mine remains under development with the intention of restarting production in due course. The whole Cornish mining landscape was designated a UNESCO World Heritage site in 2006.

Mining activity varied from large-scale heavily industrialised mines which have left their engine houses as a lasting legacy, down to smaller examples. Typical of the latter is the Rosevale Zennor mine which is preserved and maintained as a nineteenth century tin mine. Consisting of three levels (the bottom one flooded), rail track has been laid and ladders reinstated. A through trip is possible using ladderways between the two dry levels up the 30 metre stope. Extensive artefacts are in place both underground and on the surface including ore-shoots, wagons and drilling machinery. Many of these have been obtained from nearby abandoned mines (Fig. 5).

There are still a small number of operating mines in Britain – fewer than one hundred in total. These include coal, gypsum, Bath-stone, slate and salt; but the deepest of all is the Boulby Potash mine, a kilometre inland on the coast of North Yorkshire. The mine exploits evaporate beds of the former Zechstein Sea, in particular potash (a mix of water soluble potassium salts, at Boulby predominantly potassium chloride).
Mining covers an area of around 96 square kilometres – the majority of which is out under the North Sea. Access to the working mine is via two 1,100 metre shafts: one is used for man-hauling, and the other for extraction. Production at the mine commenced in 1973 and the working faces are up to eleven kilometres from pit bottom (Fig. 6).

Man winding takes around five minutes for the descent; the mined mineral ascends at three times this speed. The motive power for this is currently the largest electric motor in the northern hemisphere at 8,000 horse power. This immense power allows skips of 45 tonnes of mineral to be extracted in each lift. Although potash is the desired end product, roadways in potash are not stable enough for long term use. Instead, all roadways are in the lower bed of rock salt (sodium chloride) which is much more stable and provides a saleable, if less profitable, by-product.

**Transport of Delight**

Despite the almost complete absence of commercial traffic today, over 3,000 kilometres of canals in the UK remain in use by leisure craft. The construction of the network, which began in the eighteenth century, gave rise to some mammoth tunnelling operations – most challenging being the three canals that cross west to east across the Pennine range of uplands between Lancashire and Yorkshire. The Pennines rise to 893 metres above sea level and the three waterways which tunnel through are the Huddersfield, Rochdale, and Leeds & Liverpool canals.

Tunnels come in all shapes and sizes, many of the narrowest being just over two metres wide. During horse-drawn days, the barges or narrowboats had to be ‘legged’ through the tunnels by men lying on their backs and ‘walking’ along the tunnel roof. On wider canals, it is possible for two narrowboats to cross inside the tunnel; on others, traffic is controlled by time slots and, in just a few, by traffic lights. It is important to read the navigational notices carefully before entering as going backwards in a barge is not easy (Fig. 7)!

![Fig. 6 - Core sampling, Boulby Potash Mine (photo Nick Catford).](image)

Fig. 6 - Core sampling, Boulby Potash Mine (photo Nick Catford).

Fig. 6 - Prelievo di campioni alla miniera di potassa di Boulby (foto Nick Catford).

![Fig. 7 - Two-way operation at Braunston Tunnel, Grand Union Canal (photo Martin Dixon).](image)

Fig. 7 - Spostamenti in entrambi i “sensi di marcia” all’interno del tunnel Braunston, Canale della Grand Union (foto Martin Dixon).

This early experience in building canal tunnels put Britain in a strong position when the railway revolution arrived. Although slightly more able to tackle gradients than the canal network the railways nevertheless generated the need for many hundreds of tunnels. Railways often follow the same routes as the earlier canals so in many places there are canal and railway tunnels following very similar alignments. Perhaps the epitome of this is the group of Standedge Tunnels between Yorkshire and Lancashire. Here a total of four tunnels (one canal and three railway) parallel each other for over five kilometres with linking cross-passages. The canal tunnel and one of the railway tunnels are still active though the traffic they see is but a fraction of that in their heyday (Fig. 8).

Between 1825 and 1843 Marc Isambard Brunel and his son Isambard Kingdom Brunel built the first under-water tunnel in the world beneath the Thames at Rotherhithe in east London. The gravels and clays of the ground were to prove hugely challenging – even when using the newly invented tunnelling shield.
There were many construction deaths and the whole enterprise flooded on several occasions before it eventually reached completion. Although the tunnel was initially intended for horse-drawn traffic, the money to build access ramps was never found and so it remained a passenger tunnel for its early life. In later years it was converted into a railway tunnel and remains in use to this day (Fig. 9).

The next step from building tunnelled sections of railway was to build the world’s first underground railways. The first one in the world was London’s Metropolitan Railway, that opened in 1863 and celebrating its 150th year in 2013. The railway was built by ‘cut and cover’ with gas lighting in the carriages and steam-powered locomotives.

By 1890, the first deep-level underground railway was built as the City and South London Railway. It ran between Stockwell and King William Street and is now part of the route of today’s Northern line. Originally it was intended to use cable haulage but at a late stage experimental electric locomotives were used. The line became affectionately known as the ‘Tube’ and the rest, as they say, is history.

Some of the more fascinating parts of London’s tube network are the disused stations. Some of these became abandoned when lines were extended into the suburbs and attempts were made to improve end-to-end running times. In other cases, the introduction of sloping escalators rather than the original lifts meant that adjacent station entrances just became too close together.

One of the most fascinating disused stations is Down Street, on the Piccadilly Line between Green Park and Hyde Park Corner. Down Street closed due to low passenger numbers in 1932 but found a new life in World War II. In an ironic twist, this disused station was used as the protected headquarters for the Railway Executive – a body which co-ordinated the mainline railway companies during the war. Today the bricked-off platforms can still be glimpsed from a passing train but the station is off-limits to underground enthusiasts (Fig. 10).

**NEW PROJECTS**

In current times, tunnelling projects are still underway on a huge scale. A ring main carrying water around London opened in 1993 but was recently extended to supply up to a gigalitre (a thousand million litres) of drinking water a day. To deal with waste water, Bazalgette’s interceptor sewers are well known but these will shortly be complemented by the Thames Tideway project, beginning in 2014. This involves bui-
Fig. 10 - Wartime telephone equipment on disused platform, Down Street tube station, Piccadilly Line (photo Nick Catford).

Fig. 10 - Attrezzature telefoniche del tempo di guerra su binario attualmente in disuso alla stazione della metropolitana di Down Street, sulla Piccadilly Line (foto Nick Catford).

lding a 35-kilometre tunnel of seven-metre diameter to increase the capacity of sewage disposal in London without overflowing into the river. The tunnel will largely follow the course of the River Thames – to avoid as many as possible of today’s utility tunnels – and be constructed about 75 metres beneath the ground.

Thirdly, the Crossrail project is (at last) constructing an east-west railway tunnel beneath London that will allow mainline trains to link the west of London and Heathrow Airport directly to the City of London and well beyond.

DEFENCE OF BRITAIN

Military structures have long been built partially underground to provide concealment and protection. Such excavations date back many centuries but there is a special interest within Subterranea Britannica of twentieth-century structures – particularly those of World War II and the Cold War.

World War II was the first conflict where extensive air-raid shelters were built for civilians as opposed to military personnel. Sometimes existing spaces such as tube stations were used, but most common were large public shelters, often built using cut-and-cover techniques which filled waste ground especially near schools and factories. Another type of shelter was the family ‘Anderson’ shelter – essentially a corrugated-iron shed buried in the back garden.

Over three million Anderson shelters were erected before and during World War II but very few now survive. Subterranea Britannica has been active in recording many types of air-raid shelter, in many cases arranging access to shelters that have been bricked up or otherwise blocked. A recent project at Hendon, north London, unearthed a group of shelters that had been almost forgotten for several decades (Fig. 11).

As well as conventional gun emplacements, World War II also saw the introduction of underground battle headquarters. The Royal Air Force and Navy in particular were both controlled from underground sites on both a local and group level. RAF Uxbridge provides an authentically restored Fighter Command bunker from where London was defended during the Battle of Britain. Most other similar sites are now derelict, vandalised or demolished.

It was perhaps in the Cold War that underground sites were most heavily used by the military and authorities in the UK. In terms of volume, three types of
sites predominate – two detecting and responding to threats, and the third attempting to provide continuity of government. In terms of detection, by far the largest number of sites were those occupied by the Royal Observer Corps (ROC). Created in World War II to visually track incoming aircraft, the ROC literally went underground in the late 1950s. Over 1,500 3-person posts were built, each equipped with instruments to measure the location, strength and fallout from a nuclear attack. These posts then reported up to a ‘Group’ level, which aggregated the reporting with triangulation and used the subsequent analysis to protect the population. The ROC was disbanded at the end of the Cold War in the early 1990s but many posts – in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland as well as England - are now in the hands of enthusiasts and have been maintained or restored (Fig. 12).

Another major underground Cold War programme was known as ROTOR – effectively an updated UK-wide Radar programme. This used rapidly developing technology to detect and direct the response to inbound enemy bombers. The defences were concentrated in the south and east of England although there were a smaller number in Wales and Scotland. The growing power and range of Radar meant that many sites quickly became redundant, but their exploration provides a chilling insight into how World War III might have been fought. Subterranea Britannica enthusiasts have extensively researched and documented ROTOR sites and are active in preserving and protecting the underground bunker at former RAF Wartling in Sussex (Fig. 13).

The third group of underground sites associated with the Cold War are those which would have provided civilian government with protected accommodation. These existed in three tiers – local, regional and national. Local councils and authorities were instructed to provide protected shelters but in truth many used the basements of existing buildings such as Town Halls or Civic Centres. In the author’s view, it is unlikely that these would have provided sufficient capacity or protection from a major nuclear attack.

More robust were the regional government sites – almost all using existing accommodation that had been used in World War II (such as Dover Castle or Drakelow aeroplane factory) or redundant ROTOR sites. In their first guise these were known as RSGs (Regional Seats of Government) and they were later known as RGHQs (Regional Government Head-Quarters) (Fig. 14). The last level of protected government accommodation was built into a disused Bath stone quarry near Corsham in Wiltshire. This was to provide a standby headquarters for the UK’s National Government. Known by various code-names over a fifty year period, the site was declassified in 2002 but still remains off-limits to the public.

**Curious and Eccentric**

To finish, here are a few sites that are difficult to categorise or ‘one-offs’.

Dinorwig, in the Welsh mountains, houses a hydroelectric power station with two distinguishing features. Firstly, the power station is specifically designed

---

Fig. 12 - Three-man ROC post at Cuckfield, West Sussex (photo Martin Dixon).

Fig. 12 - Sito del Royal Observer Corps (ROC) a Cuckfield, nel Sussex occidentale (foto Martin Dixon).

Fig. 13 - Preservation work at RAF Wartling ROTOR Station (photo Edward Combes).

Fig. 13 - Lavori di conservazione alla stazione RAF Wartling ROTOR (foto Edward Combes).

Fig. 14 - Plant Room at ex-Regional Government Headquarters at Crowborough (photo Tim Robinson).

Fig. 14 - Sala di controllo dell’impianto agli ex quartieri generali del Governo Regionale a Crowborough (foto Tim Robinson).
to boost the nation’s electrical supply at peak times. The water to drive it lies in a lake high above, which has a finite capacity. Overnight, when demand on the electricity network is lowest, the power station is effectively reversed and the turbines are used as pumps to return the water to the upper lake ready to be used at the next spike in demand. The second peculiarity is that the entire power station is underground. This is to protect the scenic beauty of the Snowdonia National Park. At 1,800 Megawatts, Dinorwig is the largest underground power station in Europe and twelve million tonnes of rock were excavated to create the plant. The main chamber measures 51 metres tall, by 23m wide and 180 m long (Fig. 15). Public tours are regularly run and it is pleasing to see industrial structures being showcased and celebrated.

Around the world, there are many examples of half-finished tunnels; schemes where money ran out or where geology or politics prevented further progress. Before the current Channel tunnel (1987-94) was completed, two earlier starts were made and abandoned (1880 and 1974). However, a half-finished tunnel on the East Kent Light Railway is intriguingly fully usable. The Golgotha tunnel on that line was intended to be single bore, double track and the portals were so built. However, in order to save money, the bores were only half excavated – producing a ‘D’ shaped profile with a single track only throughout (Fig. 16). The line ran commercially from 1917 to 1987 but the volume of coal traffic (the line’s principal source of revenue) never justified the widening of the tunnel. So a large block of chalk remains in situ throughout the tunnel which was reopened as part of a preserved line in 1993.

To finish, a mention must be made of the site which was the seed from which Subterranea Britannica grew. Our founder, Sylvia Beamon, lives in Royston, Hertfordshire and became fascinated by Royston ‘Cave’, an enigmatic structure under the centre of the

Fig. 15 - Surge tunnel at Dinorwig Hydro-Electric power station (photo First Hydro Company).

Fig. 15 - Galleria alla centrale idro-elettrica di Dinorwig (foto First Hydro Company).

Fig. 16 - Golgotha railway tunnel showing in-situ chalk (photo Martin Dixon).

Fig. 16 - Galleria ferroviaria di Golgotha, con esposizione del calcare (chalk; foto Martin Dixon).
town. The cave was (re)discovered in 1742 beneath a millstone by a workman. Since then it has intrigued experts and casual visitors alike. The main chamber is five metres in diameter and almost eight metres high and is covered with religious and mediaeval carvings (Fig. 17). Experts have dated the sandstone excavation to the fourteenth century and suggestions as to its original purpose have covered everything from a storage silo to a Masonic temple, from a hermitage to a prison. The site is now managed by the Royston and District History Society and is a microcosm of the myriad mysteries that live beneath our feet.

Fig. 17 - Royston Cave Carvings (photo Tim Robinson).

*Fig. 17 - Iscrizioni nella Grotta di Royston Cave (foto Tim Robinson).*
Extended abstract

This article describes, in the form of extended abstract, the first activities to start a project aimed at the census of ancient mines in Italy, and at obtaining useful information for the safeguard and conservation of this heritage. Mines are not caves: they are not a virgin territory to discover; there is an historical memory concerning these sites, and technical mining databases are almost always available. The stability of the rock masses in ancient mines is often in not good situations; a safety approach to the exploration must therefore take into account the risks of likely slope failures, blocks falling and spalling, as well as the possibility of water arrivals.

As speleologists/mining engineers/geologists/archaeologists, etc., we generally have our own archive, that becomes progressively richer and more complete year after year; the available database is enormous, even though the number of people involved in this discipline is not very high. The main problem, however, is that everybody collects information using different bases to survey: forms officially released by the national speleological society, or field notes, or maps reproduced from historical mining technical offices, or simply photographs, and so on… The result is a huge amount of data, which are typically too heterogeneous to be compared and analyzed. Thus, there is the need to find a common language, in order to share our different culture and knowledge, through a multi-disciplinary approach.

The form here presented (Fig. 1) was chosen specifically for this project, after long discussions within the Commission on Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society (SSI); to be easily used, it has to be compact, short, paying attention to ensure the main fundamental information (which, on the other hand, may be different according to the different points of view!). As it always happens in these cases, the form will be always object of critics, and can be object of future improvements with changes and/or integrations; at this stage, however, the most important thing to us is…to start, that means to define a form to be used for the census!

There are a variety of problems to be dealt with. The first is as follows: if we begin from historical and/or personal archives, it has to be expected that a lot of information required by the form are missing. These may include, for instance, the coordinates of the entrances, the topographic surveys, the historical evidences, the plants and machinery data, etc.

Second problem: to fill the form for “new” mines, the ideal situation should be to study technical maps, if available, before the exploration (also in order to preliminary evaluate the underground safety conditions). However, if we keep waiting to collect many informations (including the technical maps), the risk is that we will never go to the site!

Third problem (…or, maybe, this is the first?): many explorers collected a lot of data in their life, but they keep them “at home”, without sharing such a knowledge and amount of data with other people. As a consequence, many useful information have to be considered as lost (locked in a drawer).

Thus, following these considerations, at what aims is this census going to be useful?

First, to discover and point out “forgotten” evidences of our history, strictly linked to the works of extraction of raw materials. At the same time, we cannot forget safety problems related to the presence of unknown underground spaces: many of the existing “holes” have been canceled from written documents, and are now not known to local population, thus representing a potential risk for the society. People may fall in these voids, or buildings and structures located directly above them or in their vicinity may be damaged.

The target of the census is quite ambitious: we would like to combine the feeling of exploration of the caver with the technical approach of the engineer…!
The first period of survey and compilation of the form will naturally point out the main criticalities and problems; these will be carefully examined and, if necessary, some changes will be introduced in order to correct them, and to reach the best results. The first test of the project will be carried out in the Piedmont region (north-western Italy); then, the target is to extend the census to the other regions, and cover the whole country. The pilot-project in Piedmont will also provide indications about the methodology, and will result in the preliminary elements to create the most useful approach; for example, starting from the consolidated existing databases (regional register of artificial cavities, personal data, bibliography, etc.), one of the crucial elements is to exactly locate the entrance to the site. Following this first task, and after the necessary identification and inspection of the site, analyses of the archives and bibliography will be performed. Last but not least, since in Italy exploration of old mines is forbidden, it is necessary to face the legal and administrative problems, in terms of permission to access the sites. At the time we write this extended abstract, a Technical Committee has been established for this task in Lombardy region.

**Key words:** mines, census, cultural heritage, Piedmont, Italy.

**Riassunto esteso**

**IL CENSIMENTO DELLE ANTICHE MINIERE E CAVE: UN APPROCCIO SISTEMATICO PER LA SALVAGUARDIA DI UN PATRIMONIO PERDUTO**

Un punto di partenza fondamentale per un corretto approccio a questo lavoro è aver sempre presente che le miniere non sono grotte: non si tratta pertanto di scoprire cavità, bensì al massimo di “ri-scoprirle”, dopo anni, decenni o secoli di abbandono fisico o storico-culturale. È quindi fondamentale partire dall’esistenza di una memoria storica (archivi tecnici, documentazione amministrativa legata al periodo di esercizio, cronache connesse alle questioni sociali...) quasi sempre disponibile.

Gli scavi minerari portano in sé un rischio intrinseco relativo a possibilità di crolli, inondazioni e dissesti vari; l’approccio a tali luoghi deve pertanto tener conto della sicurezza dell’ambiente ipogeo e delle conseguenti cautele da adottare.

Le figure coinvolte nella ricerca e documentazione dei vecchi siti minerari sono molteplici (tra questi, speleologi, ingegneri minerari, geologi, e archeologi) e caratterizzate di frequente da un diverso approccio al problema.

Potenzialmente, ogni esperto dell’argomento possiede un suo “archivio” (predisposto sulla base di determinati criteri di raccolta ed utilizzo dei dati) che si arricchisce anno dopo anno, quindi la massa di dati complessivamente disponibile sulla materia è enorme. Essi, date le notevoli differenze culturali, sono anche organizzati in maniera differente e possono avere come base di rilevamento schede ufficiali proposte dalle organizzazioni speleologiche nazionali (nel caso italiano, la SSI), rilievi e note di campagna, le planimetrie provenienti dall’archivio del Corpo delle Miniere, ecc... In poche parole, i dati disponibili non sono omogenei e vi è la necessità di trovare un linguaggio comune.

Scopo del censimento in questione è far emergere le testimonianze della nostra storia, che mai potrà prescindere dall’estrazione delle materie prime, sebbene le scelte politiche più o meno recenti abbiano condannato a morte l’attività estrattiva, nello specchio della storia è emerge come una delle più importante. Di molte cavità si è persa nel tempo l’ubicazione, anche quando di notevole estensione.

Innegabile che questa situazione possa costituire un potenziale (e comprovato) rischio, non soltanto per la caduta di persone nelle cavità non segnalate, ma anche per la possibilità di crolli che possano compromettere le strutture antropiche sovrastanti. La storia mineraria insegna: molte tragedie con pesante bilancio in vittime sono state causate da frammenti di strutture sotterranee, o da improvvisi rilievi d’acqua.

Questo approccio al problema vorrebbe unire il metodo esplorativo proprio dello speleologo a quello tecnico più tipicamente ingegneristico. Dopo un primo periodo di test sulle miniere piemontesi, si esamineranno le problematiche emerse al fine di correggere il tiro, per arrivare al miglior risultato. Dopo l’esperimento piemontese, l’obiettivo è estendere il censimento alle altre regioni per arrivare ad una scala nazionale.

A proposito della sperimentazione, il progetto pilota del Piemonte dovrebbe avere anche l’obiettivo di fornire indicazioni sulla metodologia del censimento. A titolo di esempio, si ritiene buona norma partire da una base di dati concreti acquisiti (catasto regionale e nazionale, dati personali, etc.), ponendo come punto essenziale almeno l’esatto posizionamento dell’ingresso delle miniere, per poi passare ai dati di archivio e bibliografici.

La scheda prodotta (Fig. 1) deriva da una lunga serie di discussioni e confronti all’interno della Commissione Cavità Artificiali. L’obiettivo della scheda è quello di essere efficace e pratica allo stesso tempo conser-
vando le informazioni fondamentali. Si è quindi prestata particolare attenzione alla tipologia di miniera (minerale coltivato e sistema di coltivazione), all’epoca di attività, al numero degli imbocchi, allo stato di conservazione delle strutture sia esterne che sotterranee, alla presenza o meno di intersezioni con cavità naturali, ed ad altri elementi considerati utili alla miglior conoscenza del sito.

Vale la pena, infine, ricordare schematicamente i principali problemi incontrati:

1. partendo dagli archivi storici e dalla bibliografia, è probabile che alcune informazioni necessarie, come le coordinate degli imbocchi, e i rilievi plano-altimetrici, possano mancare;

2. per compilare le schede ex-novo, la situazione ideale sarebbe partire da uno studio bibliografico e di archivio; allo stesso tempo, se si aspetta di avere in mano tale materiale, c’è il rischio di non andare mai in sito;

3. molti degli interessati alla tematica sono in possesso di una gran quantità di dati, che però non vogliono condividere, per cui molta conoscenza giace nei cassetti, custodita gelosamente dal “proprietario”, e così tristemente divenuta inutilizzabile.

Infine, da non sottovalutare gli aspetti connessi alla legislazione vigente, che in pratica non consente l’accesso alle antiche miniere. Risulta pertanto necessario approfondire questi aspetti. A tal fine, si sta attualmente lavorando nell’ambito di un Tavolo Tecnico sul Patrimonio Minerario Dismesso della Regione Lombardia.

## Dati Generali

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denominazione miniera o cava</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ubicazione</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regione</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provincia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comune</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rif. cart. I.G.M. (o CTR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ev. coord. GPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoca di realizzazione</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ attiva</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ dismessa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esplorazione e catasto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Esplorato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Non esplorato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Rilievo disponibile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Rilievo non disponibile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. riferimento catastaie S.S.I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerali/i coltivati/i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impianti/strutture esistenti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ frantoi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ lavene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ forni</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ abitazioni</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topografia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ tipo di coltivazione</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ camere e pilastri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ gallerie lungo filone lat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ altro</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piano di coltivazione (planimetria e/o sezioni)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>numero di imbocchi accessibili</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lunghezza rievata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>settori/livelli esplorati</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eventuale Ri-utilizzo dell'opera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percorribilità dell'opera</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Livello di difficoltà</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ facile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ difficile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rischi presenti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infersezione di cavità naturali</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliografia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Italian National Register of artificial cavities

Marco Meneghini

Abstract

The National Register of Artificial Caves of the Italian Speleological Society, was established in 1989, as a direct emanation of the National Commission of Artificial Caves of the Society. Initially located at Narni, since 2005 it is hosted in Bologna at the Center for Speleological Documentation “F. Anelli”. Aim of the national register is to collect and maintain the information obtained from the studies about the artificial cavities, and keep this huge amount of data available to the scientific community. The paper illustrates the procedures for the classification of the artificial cavities in Italy.

Key words: register, artificial cavity, Italy.

Riassunto

Il Catasto Nazionale delle Cavità Artificiali d’Italia

Il Catasto Nazionale delle Cavità Artificiali (CA) della Società Speleologica Italiana (S.S.I.), è stato istituito nel 1989, come diretta emanazione della Commissione Nazionale delle Cavità Artificiali S.S.I. (CNCA). Inizialmente situato a Narni, dal 2005 ha sede a Bologna, presso il Centro di Documentazione Speleologica “F. Anelli”. Il Catasto Nazionale ha lo scopo di assicurare la conservazione delle informazioni raccolte nelle esplorazioni e gli studi eseguiti sulle cavità, mettendole a disposizione della comunità scientifica. Di ogni singola cavità sono raccolti, secondo le procedure e i modelli stabiliti dal Catasto Nazionale CA, i seguenti dati: denominazione, ubicazione, speleometria (ovvero i dati metrici dell’estensione accessibile delle cavità), posizione topografica, tipologia, epoca di realizzazione, terreno geologico, stato di conservazione (stabilità, presenza di inquinamento, percorribilità interna). La classificazione tipologica degli ipogei è strutturata in sette categorie, identificate con una lettera maiuscola, a loro volta divise in sottotipi. Le epoche di realizzazione, originarie o presunte, delle CA, in genere, sono invece indicate con lettere minuscole. Il Catasto Nazionale CA è strutturato su base regionale, con i referenti locali che hanno il compito di inviare periodicamente ai dati sintetici delle cavità catastate, vale a dire le informazioni di carattere generale, che non permettono l’esatta localizzazione di una cavità, ma la identificano chiaramente: il numero di catasto, il nome, la regione, la provincia, il comune, e la tipologia. Il numero di catasto è formato dalla sigla CA seguita da un numero progressivo regionale e dalle sigle identificative della regione e della provincia (es. CA 1 La RM: cavità artificiale n. 1 del Lazio, prov. di Roma). Gli ipogei catastati direttamente al Catasto Nazionale vengono, invece, contrassegnati con un numero progressivo seguito dalla sigla N (nazionale) e dalle lettere che individuano la regione e la provincia, seguendo un’unica numerazione per tutta Italia. I dati del Catasto Nazionale e dei Catasti Regionali delle CA sono pubblici, di proprietà del soggetto che li ha prodotti ed elaborati. La richiesta di accesso ai dati può essere inoltrata direttamente al curatore nazionale o ai referenti regionali. La scheda per l’inserimento delle cavità a catasto è disponibile sul sito internet del Catasto Nazionale CA http://catastoartificiali.speleo.it. A Maggio 2012 risultano iscritte al Catasto Nazionale circa 5000 cavità. E’ importante sottolineare che i dati relativi al complesso delle CA censite, rispecchiano in primo luogo il risultato delle ricerche effettivamente svolte piuttosto che l’effettiva presenza di CA sul territorio in termini oggettivi. In seno alla Commissione Nazionale delle Cavità Artificiali della SSI, è emersa l’esigenza di coordinare questa importante serie di dati attraverso un unico strumento di archiviazione e gestione, un Sistema Informativo Territoriale web-oriented su tutte le cavità artificiali del nostro Paese. L’applicazione offre diffe-
The National Register of Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society (SSI) was established in 1989 following the directives of the National Commission on Artificial Cavities, in turn set up in 1981 (Nini, 1990). Aim of the work by the National Commission is to promote and organize the exploration, protection, study and classification of artificial cavities. The Register, initially based in Narni, at the time being is in Bologna, at the Centre for Speleological Documentation “Franco Anelli”.

The goal of the National Register is to preserve information about artificial cavities, making them available to the scientific and the speleological communities. Owner of the data is the subject who collected them, as specified by the current regulation about privacy and copyright, and by the article 1 of the Register’s regulation (Meneghini, 2008).

Gathering data about artificial cavities widens the geographical perspective to the undergrounds shaped by human beings, supporting research and interdisciplinary studies which span far beyond the strictly speleological domain, encompassing the activities of private and public research institutes, institutions, universities, etc.

The National Register is structured on a regional basis: local trustees deliver periodically the summary data of the classified cavities, i.e. general information that do not allow to localize exactly where a given cavity lies, but nonetheless identifies it through a serial number, a name, the region, province and town where it is located, and the typology of the cavity itself. Following the regulation approved in 2007, any subject interested in providing data can forward directly them at the Register. Data about the cavities essentially portray the outcomes of researches, once they have started, often go far beyond expectations.

Today about 5000 cavities are classified in the National Register. Data about the cavities essentially portray the kind of researches that have been carried out rather than the actual composition of the different cavities: in many years of field studies it has become clear that the outcomes of researches, once they have started, often go far beyond expectations.

The distribution of the different types of cavities in the Register is shown in figure 1: military works are the majority, but hydraulic and mining works, although in a more limited number, are often in a significant number.

The types of cavities and the indication of their age are conventions that can be applied to the specific Italian case, but could be adapted even to fit in other countries.

Classifying artificial cavities has many practical implications: it supports the proper management of land and water resources, contributes to the protection of a fragile environment, and improves the understanding and appreciation of the cultural, archaeological and artistic heritage, also focusing the attention toward its touristic potential.

Right after the foundation of the Register, in 1999 new procedures were established to catalogue and classify the different types of cavities: experts Paolo Guglia and Giulio Cappa (Cappa, 1999) of the Italian Speleological Society classified artificial cavities in seven types (identified by capital letters):

A - Hydraulic works;
B - Civil Settlements works;
C - Worship works;
D - Military works;
E - Mining works;
F - Transit network;
G - Others.

These typologies are then further taxonomically divided into 36 subtypes, progressively numbered (e.g., A.1; B.4; etc.)

The age of the artificial cavity is also indicated, in small letters, as follows:

a = prehistoric;
b = protohistoric;
c = pre-roman (eg. Etrurian);
d = royal and republican roman;
e = imperial roman;
f = late-antique (twilight of the roman empire);
g = early Middle Age (until 1000 a.C. approx.);
h = Middle Age;
i = Renaissance (1400-1600 approx.);
l = Modern Era (until the French Revolution);
m = XIX century;
n = XX century.

I dati sino disponibili on-line sono, pertanto, solamente quelli identificati come sintetici dalle procedure di accatastamento del Catasto Nazionale CA (ovvero sigla catastale, regione, provincia, comune e località, nome, tipologia e fonte dei dati).

Parole chiave: catasto, cavità artificiali, Italia.
Between 2004 and 2008 Opera Ipogea, the official magazine of the National Commission on Artificial Cavities of the Italian Speleological Society, published some special issues devoted to present the list of cavities catalogued in the Register (Di Labio, 2004, 2006; Meneghini, 2008).

More recently the National Commission’s efforts were focused in making the National Register available on the web. A new regulation was approved at this aim in 2007, and in 2008 a project was started for the realization of a Web Information System (WIS). Officially presented in 2010, the WIS allows access to summary data of more than 5000 cavities.

The WIS is organised into four levels, with the access regulated by means of a password. Guests can only register, whilst registered users can consult the register, first level administrators (i.e. regional trustees) can edit data, and, eventually, second level administrator (i.e. the national trustee) can edit and verify data. The WIS has an automatic notification system for registration, data editing and validation. It was developed by Massimo Mancini and Michele D’Amico (Petrone et al., 2009).

Figure 2 shows the homepage of the Register’s website http://catastoartificiali.speleo.it. The research can start from the general map of Italy, which is divided into its

---

**Fig. 1** - Pie chart showing the distribution of registered artificial cavities, according to the main typologies (updating at May 2012).

**Fig. 1** - Diagramma a torta che illustra la distribuzione delle cavità artificiali a catasto, suddivise nelle tipologie principali (aggiornamento di Maggio 2012).

**Fig. 2** - Opening image of the Register’s website http://catastoartificiali.speleo.it. The research can start from the general map of Italy, which is divided into its
20 regions; a darker shade shows those areas where more cavities are catalogued within the Register.
The system is constantly under development, because the goal is to implement data with those related to speleometrics, to accessibility of the cavity, and historic and archeological information that are already available in the archive of paper forms collected by the Register. At the time being an enormous amount of information already gathered by the National Register are available only by directly enquiring the trustees. We are looking forward to making it available over the internet as soon as possible, and to this purpose are seeking support from national and local institutions that might be interested in the development of the project.
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Artificial caves as a possible danger: sinkholes and other effects at the surface

Mario Parise¹,²,³

Abstract

Artificial caves may represent a possible danger, threatening the public and private properties. Presence of underground cavities beneath built-up areas implies situations of potential risk for all the man-made infrastructures and constructions above. Nevertheless, such a risk remains unknown until an effective knowledge about the real extension, the typology, and the stability conditions of the caves has been reached. Cavers must be well aware of such a risk, and be ready to provide a contribution in facing the problems that might occur. In many occasions, development of deformations at the surface, up to subsidence and eventually formation of sinkholes, has caused severe direct damage, and produced a number of related problems, from inconveniences to circulation for the local population, to decreased value of properties, and so on. The conditions possibly leading to occurrence of sinkholes must be therefore object of study by cavers, since they are the only “eyes” that have a direct possibility of inspection and monitoring of the underground.

Artificial cavities, once abandoned, are subject to degradation of the rock mass, which may also be favored by water infiltration, presence of wastes, or other anthropogenic actions (i.e., overcharge, vibrations due to traffic, etc.). Identification of the first signs of instability underground, in the form of tension cracks, deformations along the wall of the cavities, and first detachment of volumes of rocks, is crucial to assess the instability conditions at the site, and to plan the necessary interventions.

All the above issues will be dealt with in this contribution, by means of some examples from Apulia region (southern Italy) where in recent years several episodes have occurred, mostly related to underground quarries. This latter typology of artificial caves has been identified by far as the most dangerous typology of artificial cavity concerning instability phenomena and the likely effects to the human society.

Key words: artificial cavities, hazard, sinkholes, civil protection.

Riassunto

Cavità artificiali come un possibile pericolo: sprofondamenti ed altri effetti in superficie

Le cavità di origine antropica possono costituire un potenziale pericolo, e mettere a repentaglio la pubblica e privata incolumità. La presenza di cavità sotteranee al di sotto di zone urbanizzate implica situazioni di rischio potenziale per tutte le sovrastanti infrastrutture, nonché per le costruzioni esistenti. Ciò nonostante, tale rischio resta ignoto sino a che una effettiva conoscenza della reale estensione e tipologia delle cavità, e delle loro condizioni di stabilità, siano state raggiunte.

Gli speleologi sono ben consapevoli di tali rischi, e possono fornire un significativo contributo per affrontare correttamente e nei tempi più opportuni i problemi connessi a cavità ipogee. In più di una occasione, lo sviluppo di deformazioni in superficie, sino a fenomeni di subsidenza e, infine, alla formazione di sprofondamenti, ha causato seri danni diretti, e prodotto numerosi problemi ad essi collegati, quali ad esempio difficoltà nella circolazione del traffico per le popolazioni locali, diminuito valore delle proprietà, e così via. Le condizioni potenzialmente in grado di condurre alla occultazione di sprofondamenti devono pertanto essere oggetto di studio da parte degli speleologi, in quanto questi costituiscono gli unici “occhi” che hanno una possibilità diretta di ispezione e monitoraggio degli ambienti sotterranei.

Le cavità artificiali, una volta abbandonate, sono soggette a fenomeni di degrado dell’ammasso roccioso, che possono anche essere favoriti da infiltrazioni d’acqua, presenza di rifiuti, o da altre attività antropiche (i.e., sovraccarichi, vibrazioni per il traffico, etc.). L’identificazione dei primi segni di instabilità nel sottosuolo, sotto forma di fratture da tensione, deformazioni lungo le pareti delle cavità, e primi distacchi di volumi di
Sinkholes are among the main types of hazards that affect karst environments (Ford & Williams, 2007; Parise & Gunn, 2007; Waltham & Lu, 2007; Parise, 2010a; Gutierrez, 2010; Zhou & Beck, 2011). They occur as natural events, deriving from karst processes in soluble rocks, either cropping out at the surface or covered by other materials (Waltham et al., 2005; Parise, 2008), or can be related to presence of underground cavities realized by man. Especially in countries where the underground space has been historically used by man for several purposes, occurrence of subsidence or sinkholes related to old, often abandoned, man-made cavities is quite frequent. This may become a significant problem in built-up areas, and in particular in those sectors where expansion of the urban areas included those sectors of land affected by man-made cavities. Cavers may play a very important role in the identification of the sites possibly interested by subsidence and sinkholes, since they are the only category of people that have the opportunity, and the technical skills, to move safely underground. Their survey, and the observation of likely instability features affecting the rock masses, may be of crucial importance to timely identifying unstable sites, and might help in carrying out studies to ascertain the effective possibility of collapse, and consequently the sinkhole occurrence at the surface.

As an example to highlight the hazards related to the presence of man-made cavities, the Apulia region, the heel of the Italian boot, is considered in this article. Due to the almost exclusively presence of soluble rocks at the outcrop, Apulia is heavily affected by sinkholes, related to both the natural caves produced by karst processes, and the artificial cavities widely characterizing the region. As a matter of fact, Apulian land hosts some thousands of artificial caves, encompassing practically all the typologies of man-made cavities, and realized during the different time epochs.

Sinkhole occurrence in Apulia

Since the beginning of last century, sinkholes in Apulia are being frequently caused by anthropogenic activity, and particularly by quarrying which, among the human activities, is certainly one of those producing the worst impacts on the natural Apulian landscape. When quarries are realized underground, they become potential dangers when, once abandoned, are affected by instability processes which upward stoping may eventually lead to sinkhole development at the surface. In Apulia several rock types have been interested by underground quarrying activity. In particular, the Plio-Pleistocene calcarenites, widely used for construction purposes, have been extensively extracted through subterranean excavations (Parise, 2010b, 2012). Underground quarrying historically developed due to a number of reasons, namely the presence of the rocks with the best characteristics at a certain depth, and the need to preserve surface land to be used for agriculture. The latter point is particularly important for the local economy, which is based on agricultural production, with some products of remarkable quality such as olive oil and wines. Due to the above reasons, subterranean galleries and passages were excavated in order to reach the rock levels to be cultivated for extraction. This occurred mostly at low depth (that is, not greater than 10 meters), but in some cases, depending upon the local geological and topographical settings, it was necessary to dig shafts over 30-40 m-deep. Most of these activities had to be recorded during the XIX century, and typically lasted for a few decades, before being abandoned, as a combination of some accidents related to the difficult working conditions, and to high expenses of the extraction works. Once abandoned, the underground sites rapidly were forgotten, and such a loss of memory played a crucial role in increasing the sinkhole hazard. With time, the expansion of the urban areas that occurred during the XX century often resulted in construction of buildings and communication routes just above the underground galleries. At the same time, weathering processes due to water circulation and/or to illegal discharge of liquid and solid wastes underground, caused a progressive decrease in the strength properties of the materials (Andriani & Walsh, 2003), a factor which undoubtedly is favorable to the degradation of the rock mass in the underground quarries and may promote development of failures (Parise & Lollino, 2011).

Apart from underground quarries, many other typologies of man-made caves in Apulia have originated subsidence and/or sinkhole problems: from underground oil mills, to storage houses, cisterns and rupestrian settlements, there is record of several cases where the presence of an artificial caves, and the failure processes occurring therein, with progressive stoping toward the surface, resulted in sinkhole formations, with severe
damage to the society in terms of economic losses, and (luckily, in a limited number of events) casualties.

A chronological research about sinkhole events related to anthropogenic caves in Apulia has been recently carried out by Fiore & Parise (2013): the main outcomes are summarized in Table 1. It has to be noted that the 45 sinkhole events listed in the table under-estimate the real occurrence of sinkholes. The table includes only those cases for which a documentation has been found, which includes a specific temporal reference, even though limited only to the year of occurrence (Fig. 1). Other events, for which no information about date of occurrence was found, were not considered in the study, and therefore do not appear in Table 1.

Many sinkhole events go unreported, or the related documentation is lost with time. In other situations, sinkholes are not recorded since land owners prefer to keep them secret, to avoid loss of value of the land. This is in particular true as concerns the sinkholes in rural areas: in the chronology shown in Table 1, only 5 even-

Fig. 1 - Example of newspaper clip about occurrence of sinkhole: the events at Lequile (no. 32 in Table 1; source: www.LeccePrima.it )

Fig. 1 - Esempio di notizia tratta da giornali su eventi di sprofondamento: il caso del sinkhole a Lequile (evento n. 32 in Tabella 1; fonte: www.LeccePrima.it )
ts occurred in rural areas (Fig. 2). In any case, we feel that the events listed in Table 1 may provide an overall framework, even though not comprehensive, about frequency and distribution in the Apulian territory of anthropogenic sinkholes. This well highlights the existence of the problem in the region, a danger which has been for long times ignored by the local Authorities, and only recently gained the due attention (Barnaba et al., 2010), in the aftermath of the impressive sequence of events occurred in the last decade.

The cases of occurrence of anthropogenic sinkholes for which a date is known are listed in Table 1. Overall, 24 cases of sinkholes due to underground quarries have been found (Figs. 3 and 4), whilst in 9 other cases the occurrence of sinkholes attributed to subterranean quarries is likely, but not sure. As concerns the most ancient documented events, two towns deserve particular attention: Canosa di Puglia, where in the first

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type of cavity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Canosa di Puglia</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>Soc. It. Cond. Acqua, 1989</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>Altamura</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Martinucci et al., 2010</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>July 1956</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Parisi et al., 2008</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>May-June 1957</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Parisi et al., 2008</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>November 27, 1959</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>February 3, 1972</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>October 13-14, 1972</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>December 11, 1972</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>January 3, 1973</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>July 21, 1973</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>May 5, 1974</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>February 5 (?), 1979</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>February 20, 1980</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>before April 1985</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Cutrofiano Municipality</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>May 4, 1990</td>
<td>Canosa di Puglia</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>December 20, 1992</td>
<td>S. Marco La Catola</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>November 11, 1995</td>
<td>Grottaglie</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Grottaglie Municipality</td>
<td>Oil mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>February 1996</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Cutrofiano Municipality</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>January 24, 1997</td>
<td>Capurso</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Andreani et al., 1998</td>
<td>Silos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>S. Marco La Catola</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>September 5, 1999</td>
<td>Canosa di Puglia</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>June 16, 2000</td>
<td>Sant'Agata di Puglia</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>Parisi &amp; Wasowski, 2002</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>October 3, 2000</td>
<td>Sant'Agata di Puglia</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>Parisi &amp; Wasowski, 2002</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>March 2006</td>
<td>Altamura</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Martinucci et al., 2010</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>April 2006</td>
<td>Candelà</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>March 29, 2007</td>
<td>Gallipoli</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Delle Rose, 2007</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>May 5, 2007</td>
<td>Gallipoli</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>May 7, 2007</td>
<td>Altamura</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Spilotro et al., 2001</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>February 12, 2008</td>
<td>Lequite</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>Oil mill (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>July 15, 2008</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>December 3, 2008</td>
<td>Altamura</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>Gravina in Puglia</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>AdB Puglia</td>
<td>Cellar, Storage site (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>February 2009</td>
<td>Ginoso in Puglia</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>February 18, 2010</td>
<td>Trani</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>May 3, 2010</td>
<td>Barletta</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>De Giovanni et al., 2011</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>May 2010</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>October 2010</td>
<td>Cutrofiano</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>November 2010</td>
<td>Gallipoli</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>December 20, 2010</td>
<td>Gallipoli</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td>CNR-IRPI surveys</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>February 19, 2011</td>
<td>Andria</td>
<td>BAT</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>Water channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>February 11, 2012</td>
<td>Taranto</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>Newspaper clip</td>
<td>Storage site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1 - List of the documented sinkholes in Apulia, related to anthropogenic cavities.

Tab. 1 - Elenco dei casi di sprofondamento connessi a cavità antropiche in Puglia, per i quali è stata reperita documentazione relativa alla data di occorrenza.
decades of the XX century a series of sinkholes occurred, which were at the origin later on of a crisis during the ‘90s with subsequent reclamation works in the town (Società Italiana per Condotte d’Acqua, 1989); and Andria (Fig. 5), where at least 9 events were recorded, showing lower impact than at Canosa di Puglia as regards the damage produced, but nevertheless producing great alarm in the town. The main difference among the sinkholes registered at these two sites is that, based upon the available documents, the events at Canosa di Puglia are all attributable to underground quarries, whilst this link is less clear at Andria, where also other categories of man-made cavities may have been responsible of the sinkholes.

Apart from these two towns, the chronology reported in Table 1 points out to a very high frequency of sinkhole events related to underground quarries in the last years. Since 2006, as a matter of fact, several towns in Apulia have been affected by collapse events that in many cases repeatedly threatened or directly involved the urban areas.

Sinkhole occurrence in urban areas causes the greatest concerns in terms of civil protection issues, and safeguard of the public safety, due to likely direct involvement of buildings and people (Figs. 6 and 7). Ideally, any expansion of built-up areas should be preceded by geological-engineering surveys, also addressed to recognize the possibility of presence of underground voids in the area. In practice, however, in many Apulian towns the memory of the excavations realized in the past centuries has been lost, or, when location of underground cavities is known, a detailed map is lacking, as well as any information about degradation of the rock mass and occurrence of failures in the subterranean system. In some cases, the underground spaces have become working sites, potentially representing a threat to the workers, as had to be registered on the occasion of the event in a subterranean oil mill at Grotttaglie (no. 20 in Table 1; Fig. 8).

Even outside of the towns, and in particular at their outskirts, the possibility of sinkhole occurrence has to be
taken into serious consideration, since they pose a serious threat to the communication routes, threatening the safety of vehicles. At several locations, sinkholes are only few meters apart from the roads, which have repeatedly been inhibited to heavy traffic, thus creating several economic damage to the local activities, consisting essentially of working in the surface quarries of clays, used for the pottery industry and artcrafts.

The presence of man-made underground caves that were originally located not directly below the built-up areas, but at a certain distance from this, once again may refer to underground quarry (which location had to be not too far from the inhabited areas, in order to avoid long transport of the building materials; Fig. 9), as well as to working places such as oil mills (particularly frequent in the Apulian countryside), in order to be at close contact with the key source, that is the oli-
ve field. Further, religious sites too, may be located at
the outskirts of town, in order to celebrate some episo-
des in the life of saints, and/or promote processions by
the pilgrims. With time, expansion of the urban areas
brought the buildings at the borders of towns to be lo-
cated more and more closer to those sectors where the
underground cavities were originally excavated.

CONCLUSIONS

The high number of sinkholes related to underground
man-made caves that have occurred in the last years in
Apulia highlights the need to face a hazard that until
recent times was greatly underestimated. Direct invol-
vement of inhabited areas and important communica-
tion routes and infrastructures makes clear that nume-
rous elements at risk can be threatened by sinkholes,
which therefore should deserve greater attention and
be object of thorough studies. The latter should ideally
deal with:

- historical research, aimed at identifying the sites in-
terested by presence of man-made caves;
- ascertaining the availability of plan and sections
of the underground sites, and, when these are not
available, carrying out dedicated surveys to have a
precise understanding of the real development of the
cave, and of the built-up areas likely to be in-
volved in the case of sinkhole occurrence;
- recognition of the mechanisms of failures occurring
in the underground sites (Szwedzicki, 2001; PARISE
& LOLLINO, 2011; PARISE, 2013);
- evaluation of the decrease in the mechanical prop-
erties, related to degradation of the rock mass un-
derground, as a consequence of water infiltration
or any anthropogenic activity (ANDRIANI & WALSH,
2003);
- analysis of premonitory signs of the catastrophic
phase of collapse, in order to understand whether
or not the collapse is preceded by signs that could be
used for forecasting purposes.

In countries as Italy, as well as in many other regions
of the Mediterranean Basin and the Middle East, his-
torical research should play a very important role in
the process of recovering old information about loca-
tion of abandoned artificial cavities. Further, the sev-
eral warnings about stability conditions of the subter-
ranean quarries pointed out by cavers should be more
seriously taken into consideration by the local authori-
ties, instead of being neglected (as for instance occurred
at Gallipoli; see Fiorito & Onorato, 2004).

The actual number of events is certainly underestimat-
ed, due to difficulty in finding informative accounts,
and, when these are available, in their frequent frag-
mentation and/or inconsistency. As observed in many
cases dealing with different types of natural and an-
thropogenic hazards, availability of historical informa-
tion is not an easy issue, and the use of such information
should take into account the need to critically evaluate
all the different sources analyzed, in order to estab-
lish a degree of reliability for each source (CALCATELLA
& PARISE, 2001; GLADE et al., 2001). Notwithstanding
these drawbacks, historical information is extremely
useful to identify the most vulnerable areas, and, when
properly considered and used by local land planners
and managers, may significantly contribute to reduce
the possible negative effects of future events.
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Abbonati ora € 25,00

3 MURA PER ABONNAMENTO
1. Convenzione presa fissa anche sui numeri speciali (letti di consegna)
2. Non partecipati gli articoli che ti interessano
3. Ci aiuti a non spegnere carta stampante solo in caso rilevazione
Ricorda la ricarica per Scadenze, Nome intestatario, Importo e motivazione.

Oltre al contenuto, le informazioni su conferenze, seminari, recensioni di libri e curiosità delle “notizie”.

Opera Ipogea è ora anche sul web: www.operaipogea.it

In 14 anni abbiamo pubblicato 228 articoli di 250 diversi autori italiani e stranieri, suddivisi in 20 miscellanei, 6 monografie, 2 atti di convegni nazionali, un numero speciale e un supplemento. Il successo della rivista, o speleological magazine, è stato determinato dalla capacità di mettere a disposizione degli studiosi e dei ricer- catori un archivio di straordinaria importanza: informazioni sui sotterranei realizzati dall’uomo nel corso dei millenni che, in assenza di una rivista dedicata come questa, non sarebbero stati rilevanti. O sarebbero stati rintracciabili in modo molto frammentato e disorganizzato. La rivista, precisione punto di riferimento editoriale fra l’archeologia e la “non solo speleologia”, nel 2013 ha aperto anche una veneta sul web per rendere più facile la condivisione dei contenuti, l’abbonamento, l’acquisto dei singoli articoli e dei numeri esauriti. Sarà anche più facile pubblicare contenuti aggiuntivi in tempo reale, come notizie di convegni, seminari, recensioni di libri sulla speleologia in cavità artificiali.